April 12, 2019

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2017-2018 educational progress for Achieve Charter Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Debbie Reeves for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/achieve/ and clicking on the "Annual Education Report" link at the top of the page or you may review a copy from the school's office at your child's school. You may also access the AER by clicking here: http://bit.ly/2tQRY1K

For the 2017-18 school year, schools were identified using definitions and labels as required in the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). A Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) school is one that has at least one underperforming student subgroup. An Additional Targeted Support (ATS) school is one that has three or more underperforming student subgroups. A Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) school is one whose performance is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state or has a graduation rate at or below 67%. Some schools are not identified with any of these labels. In these cases, no label is given.

* Note TSI and ATS definitions were changed for the 2018-19 school year per federal requirements. The new definitions are:

TSI – The school has at least one subgroup performing in the bottom 25% within each applicable accountability index component.

ATS – The school has met the criteria for TSI identification and has at least one subgroup performing at the same level as a CSI school.

Our school has not been given one of these labels.

Key challenges based on our 2017-2018 assessment data was a continued gap between our IEP and non-IEP students. Based on this data, our Professional Learning Communities have focused on support for closing this gap, and best practices to help our students. We have adopted research-based interventions for both Reading and Math, with the iReady program to further support our students and close this gap. We have also focused on small group differentiated instruction to support our students and effectively close this gap. We will also

- 3250 DENTON RD CANTON, MI 48188-2110
- 734 397 0960 OFFICE 734 397 0968 FAX
- ACHIEVEACADEMY.ORG

begin to adopt corrective reading for our middle school students and Leveled Literacy Intervention for our K-5 students.

State law requires that we also report additional information.

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Achieve Charter Academy is a state-funded, public school academy. Therefore, there is no cost to attend the Academy. The school is open to any child wishing to attend. Students must be age-appropriate for their respective grade, and they must reside in the state of Michigan.

By law, the school cannot restrict enrollment based on selection criteria. The school can, however, limit the total number of students who may attend the school. If the number of applications exceeds the number of offered seats, a random selection process will determine who attends the school.

The school's Open Enrollment period begins on the first day of the current school year and ends at 5 p.m. on the last day of business in February of the current school year. During this Open Enrollment period, all applications receive equal consideration regardless of the date submitted. At the close of Open Enrollment, all applications received during the Open Enrollment period will be counted. If applications received are fewer than offered seats in each and every grade level, all applicants will be accepted and will receive a notice of acceptance into their respective grades. If the number of applications received exceeds the number of offered seats in any grade level, a random selection process will take place for all affected grade levels. The random selection process shall be open to the public, and the school will notify all applicants of the time and place. A neutral third party person will be present during the random selection process. This person will not be related to any student, staff member, board member, anyone applying to the school, or an NHA employee. Names will be randomly selected until all offered seats have been filled. Any remaining names will be randomly selected to establish waiting list priority used to fill available offered seats prior to and during the school year for which the student applied. After all eligible names have been randomly selected, the school will add the names of applicants who submitted applications after the Open Enrollment Period in the order in which they were received. The random selection process is open to the public and will be video recorded. In the event of any discrepancy, the video recording will be the official record of placement of students.

Once students are Enrolled and remain Enrolled, they will remain eligible to be Re-Enrolled at the school for successive years without having to re-apply. However, they will be requested to complete a re-enrollment form by the end of the Open Enrollment period showing their intent to Re-Enroll for the subsequent school year. All applicants on a waiting list must submit an application for the following school year during the next Open Enrollment period in order to be considered in the lottery.

In an effort to accommodate parents who have more than one child eligible for enrollment, siblings of accepted and currently Enrolled students are given enrollment priority over children who have no such siblings. Siblings of currently Enrolled students at the school will be drawn first in the random selection process, if one is necessary, for offered seats. In addition, if a child is selected during the random selection process, that child's sibling will be offered an available offered seat or the next spot on the waiting list in his/her respective grade. Though siblings are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a previously Enrolled student.

In addition to sibling preference, children of staff members employed at the school working a regularly scheduled minimum of 20 hours per week (at least 0.5 FTE) or children of current board members are given enrollment priority. Though these children are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a sibling, or a previously Enrolled student.

All applications received after the Open Enrollment Period <u>will not</u> be eligible to participate in the random selection process, and will be added to the end of the accepted list if offered seats are still available after the random selection process, or to the resulting waiting list created at the time of the random selection process.

2016-2017

Achieve Charter Academy is a state-funded, public school academy. Therefore, there is no cost to attend the Academy. The school is open to any child wishing to attend. Students must be age-appropriate for their respective grade, and they must reside in the state of Michigan.

By law, the school cannot restrict enrollment based on selection criteria. The school can, however, limit the total number of students who may attend the school. If the number of applications exceeds the number of offered seats, a random selection process will determine who attends the school.

The school's Open Enrollment period begins on the first day of the current school year and ends at 5 p.m. on the last day of business in February of the current school year. During this Open Enrollment period, all applications receive equal consideration regardless of the date submitted. At the close of Open Enrollment, all applications received during the Open Enrollment period will be counted. If applications received are fewer than offered seats in each and every grade level, all applicants will be accepted and will receive a notice of acceptance into their respective grades. If the number of applications received exceeds the number of offered seats in any grade level, a random selection process will take place for all affected grade levels. Names are randomly selected until all offered seats have been filled. Any remaining names are selected to establish waiting list priority. Applications received after the Open Enrollment period will be accepted until the end of the current school year and available seats will be filled.

Once students are Enrolled and remain Enrolled, they will remain eligible to be Re-Enrolled at the school for successive years without having to re-apply. However, they will be requested to complete a re-enrollment form by the end of the Open Enrollment period showing their intent to Re-Enroll for the subsequent school year. All applicants on a waiting list must submit an application for the following school year during the next Open Enrollment period.

In an effort to accommodate parents who have more than one child eligible for enrollment, siblings of accepted and currently Enrolled students are given enrollment priority over children who have no such siblings. Siblings of currently Enrolled students at the school will be drawn first in the random selection process, if one is necessary, for offered seats. In addition, if a child is selected during the random selection process, that child's sibling will be offered an available offered seat or the next spot on the waiting list in his/her respective grade. Though siblings are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a previously Enrolled student.

In addition to sibling preference, children of staff members employed at the school working a regularly scheduled minimum of 20 hours per week (at least 0.5 FTE) or children of current board members are given enrollment priority. Though these children are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a sibling, or a previously Enrolled student.

All applications received after the Open Enrollment Period will not be eligible to participate in the random selection process, and will be added to the end of the accepted list if offered seats are still available after the random selection process, or to the resulting waiting list created at the time of the random selection process.

2. THE STATUS OF THE 3-5 YEAR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

2017-2018

https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/achieve-charter-academy/en/school-operations

A. Describe how data led your School Improvement Team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum

The school's School Improvement Team reviews data formally and informally throughout each school year as part of its continuous school improvement process. In spring 2018, the school reviewed school and student performance data and perceptual data aligned with the Michigan School Improvement Framework. This review included the following data, among others:

- Student achievement on norm-referenced assessments, including Northwest Evaluation Association's (NWEA) Primary Grades Assessment and Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), criterion-referenced assessments (state assessment), and classroom assessments
- Student demographics

- Discipline, tardy, truancy, and attendance rates
- Parent surveys
- Staff surveys and teacher quality information
- Programs and processes data

As a result of the School Improvement Team's review of data, priority improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum were selected for the upcoming school year.

B. Describe your implementation plan for the core academic improvement objectives identified in your school improvement process. You may choose to describe your overall curricular implementation plan or you may choose to describe the plan in each content area.

The overall implementation plan for core academic improvement objectives as identified in our school improvement process included a detailed analysis of school data with a focus on planning based on data to improve all subject areas. Our staff analyzed misconceptions of standards and created small group and whole group instruction based on both the formative and summative assessment process. Data analysis meetings were held monthly to provide an opportunity for regular analysis and planning using the most recent and up to date data to plan for instructional needs. Both small group plans were then created to address more individual needs, along with whole group plans to address gaps in standards and support student growth in all subject areas.

C. Did the SIP do what it set out to do? Describe the evaluation process that led you to this conclusion.

Overall, the SIP appeared to have an impact on our students and subgroups. The plan influenced the maintenance of strong student achievement as measured by our assessment, M-STEP. The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2016-2017 SIP goals and objectives in spring 2017, as part of its preparation for the 2017-2018 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school's selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated includes that collected through M-STEP assessments, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.

The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2017-2018 SIP goals and objectives in spring 2018, as part of its preparation for the 2018-2019 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school's selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated

includes that collected through the state assessment, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.

D. Describe how the evaluation of data and the current year's school improvement process led your school improvement team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum for the next school year

See A and C above. Additionally, the School Improvement Team's evaluation of data, as part of its continuous school improvement process, revealed key focus areas for improvement. Identified through the process were specific student performance targets in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

E. How was this year's school improvement process similar or different from last year's process?

On the whole, this year's school improvement process was similar to last year's process. The School Improvement Team met formally in the spring both to evaluate progress against the prior year's identified school improvement goals and to assess data and identify school improvement goals for the next year.

2016-2017

https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/achieve-charter-academy/en/school-operations

A. Describe how data led your School Improvement Team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum

The school's School Improvement Team reviews data formally and informally throughout each school year as part of its continuous school improvement process. In spring 2017, the school reviewed school and student performance data and perceptual data aligned with the Michigan School Improvement Framework. This review included the following data, among others:

- Student achievement on norm-referenced assessments, including Northwest Evaluation Association's (NWEA) Primary Grades Assessment and Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), criterion-referenced assessments (state assessment), and classroom assessments
- Student demographics
- Discipline, tardy, truancy, and attendance rates
- Parent surveys
- Staff surveys and teacher quality information
- Programs and processes Data

As a result of the School Improvement Team's review of data, priority improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum were selected for the upcoming school year.

B. Describe your implementation plan for the core academic improvement objectives identified in your school improvement process. You may choose to describe your overall curricular implementation plan or you may choose to describe the plan in each content area

Language Arts: The plan focused on raising ELA scores as measured by the state assessment. Actions included: Focus on development of the PLC process with goals tied specifically to Reading and ELA objectives. Instruction aligned to Common Core state standards and utilization of workshop to differentiate instruction. Students were required to read more nonfiction. The school instituted a summer reading challenge. We provided professional development to teachers on the use of Formative Assessment and Response to Intervention, as well as a strong focus on providing actionable feedback to our students with implementation of student tracking their growth towards identified skills.

Science: The plan focused on raising achievement as measured by the MSTEP. Actions included a school wide science fair, implementing hands-on science activities in a consistent, frequent basis, the development of a science committee of teachers focusing on vertical alignment and instruction and the adoption of STEM lessons during and after school. This plan also has focused on implementing a new curricular tool that aligns to the Next Generation Science Standards, with a focus on engaging students in a hands on approach to learning.

Social Studies: The plan focused on raising student achievement as measure by the MSTEP. Actions included: implementation of school wide geography bee, awareness of current events, additional practice in interpreting charts, graphs and other forms of pictorial data. Additionally, we have fully adopted the Michigan State Social Studies curriculum and sent teachers to professional development courses provided by Wayne RESA to support the adoption of our curriculum.

Math: The plan focused on raising students' achievement as measured by the MSTEP. Actions included the use of the online math program, as well as the adoption of Math stories. Students used the tool at home for daily practice and supports building confidence in becoming mathematicians. In addition, we utilize curricular tools in grades K-8 that fully align to CCSS. Teachers used MSTEP and NWEA data in grade level teams to create focused, intense goals for students based on an area of need. Teachers met vertically to discuss MSTEP data, and instructional strategies to support student achievement. Our at risk students received intensive research based strategies for support to close achievement gaps. We have a focus on small group instruction using formative assessment data to support student needs.

C. Did the SIP do what it set out to do? Describe the evaluation process that led you to this conclusion.

Overall, the SIP appeared to have an impact on all subject areas showing improvement in all subjects. The plan influenced the maintenance of strong student achievement as measured by our new assessment, MSTEP. The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2015-2016 SIP goals and objectives in Spring 2016, as part of its preparation for the 2016-2017 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school's selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated includes that collected through MSTEP assessments, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.

The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2016-17 SIP goals and objectives in spring 2017, as part of its preparation for the 2017-18 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school's selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated includes that collected through the state assessment, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.

D. Describe how the evaluation of data and the current year's school improvement process led your school improvement team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum for the next school year

See A and C above. Additionally, the School Improvement Team's evaluation of data, as part of its continuous school improvement process, revealed key focus areas for improvement. Identified through the process were specific student performance targets in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

E. How was this year's school improvement process similar or different from last year's process?

On the whole, this year's school improvement process was similar to last year's process. The School Improvement Team met formally in the spring both to evaluate progress against the prior year's identified school improvement goals and to assess data and identify school improvement goals for the next year.

3. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH SPECIALIZED SCHOOL

2017-2018

Achieve Charter Academy, located in Canton, opened in the fall of 2009 with 561 students in grades K-6. In 2010, Achieve Charter Academy added 7th grade and totaled 648 students with 1,359 students on the waiting list. In 2011, Achieve added 8th grade and totaled 735 students. In 2017-18 Achieve's enrollment maintained Kindergarten-8th grade with 765 students. Achieve Charter Academy is managed by National Heritage Academies, and offers a college preparatory academic program, moral focus curriculum and strong parent involvement. Students are encouraged to participate in volunteer activities serving the community.

In its tenth year of operation, Achieve Charter Academy exceeded performance expectations and growth scores on all NWEA and M-STEP assessments. Achieve Charter Academy is authorized by Grand Valley State University, a strong supporter of teacher development and student achievement.

2016-2017

Achieve Charter Academy, located in Canton, opened in the fall of 2009 with 561 students in grades K-6. In 2010, Achieve Charter Academy added 7th grade and totaled 648 students with 1,359 students on the waiting list. In 2011, Achieve added 8th grade and totaled 735 students. In 2016-2017, Achieve's enrollment maintained Kindergarten-8th grade with 775 students. Achieve Charter Academy is managed by National Heritage Academies, and offers a college preparatory academic program, moral focus curriculum and strong parent involvement. Students are encouraged to participate in volunteer activities serving the community.

In its ninth year of operation, Achieve Charter Academy exceeded performance expectations and growth scores on all NWEA and M-STEP assessments. Achieve Charter Academy is authorized by Grand Valley State University, a strong supporter of teacher development and student achievement.

4. IDENTIFY HOW TO ACCESS A COPY OF THE CORE CURRICULUM, A DESCRIPTION OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EXPLANATION OF THE VARIANCES FROM THE STATE'S MODEL

2017-2018

Our goal of preparing students for college success has required us to take a unique view in terms of our curriculum. Our goal is to graduate students who have not only had the opportunity to experience academic excellence, but have acquired the knowledge and skills necessary to be successful in high school and college. What makes our curriculum particularly unique is that it has been developed to support state standards with a college-bound approach.

Our curriculum was developed based on the analysis of standards and assessment experts across the nation, including groups such as National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), ACT®, Achieve, Inc., the Thomas B. Fordham Institute, the National Council of Teachers of English, The National Mathematics Advisory Panel, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the National Council for Social Studies. This analysis, conducted by National Heritage Academies, comprehensively researched what students need to know to be successfully prepared for rigorous high school and college educational programming. NHA then worked backward to develop a curriculum that defines the expertise needed by students in kindergarten through eighth grade to enter high school on the path to college readiness. Since college readiness goes beyond academic preparedness, the curriculum not only includes learning goals in core academic and co-curricular areas, but also includes an integrated character development component.

The curriculum's objectives are aligned to those identified by the Michigan Academic Standards: both the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and the Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCE). Moreover, all students are expected to reach the CCSS and GLCE goals. However, modifications/accommodations are sometimes necessary to ensure learning for all students. This support comes through classroom differentiation, in-class support services, targeted programmatic resources, out of class intervention, and out of school time opportunities—all of which are designed to accelerate student growth trajectories.

The only variance in our curriculum when compared to the Michigan Academic Standards is the addition of a Moral Focus component. We believe great schools develop both a student's heart and mind, and the Moral Focus program is designed to support parents' efforts to teach character at home by reinforcing and modeling universal human virtues, such as compassion, respect, and integrity. This Moral Focus program is explicit and integrated with the school's core curriculum. A different virtue is featured each month of the school year. Teachers model behavior that exemplifies the virtue and recognize and praise students when they do the same.

A copy of the core curriculum can be obtained by parents via written request submitted to the school administration.

2016-2017

Our goal of preparing students for college success has required us to take a unique view in terms of our curriculum. Our goal is to graduate students who have not only had the opportunity to experience academic excellence, but have acquired the knowledge and skills necessary to be successful in high school and college. What makes our curriculum particularly unique is that it has been developed to support state standards with a college-bound approach.

Our curriculum was developed based on the analysis of standards and assessment experts across the nation, including groups such as National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), ACT®, Achieve, Inc., the Thomas B. Fordham Institute, the National Council of Teachers of English, The National Mathematics Advisory Panel, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the National Council for Social Studies. This analysis, conducted by National Heritage Academies, comprehensively researched what students need to know to be successfully prepared for rigorous high school and college educational programming. NHA then worked backward to develop a curriculum that defines the expertise needed by students in kindergarten through eighth grade to enter high school on the path to college readiness. Since college readiness goes beyond academic preparedness, the curriculum not only includes learning goals in core academic and co-curricular areas, but also includes an integrated character development component.

The curriculum's objectives are aligned to those identified by the Michigan Academic Standards: both the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and the Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCE). Moreover, all students are expected to reach the CCSS and GLCE goals. However, modifications/accommodations are sometimes necessary to ensure learning for all students. This support comes through classroom differentiation, in-class support services, targeted programmatic resources, out of class intervention, and out of school time opportunities—all of which are designed to accelerate student growth trajectories.


The only variance in our curriculum when compared to the Michigan Academic Standards is the addition of a Moral Focus component. We believe great schools develop both a student's heart and mind, and the Moral Focus program is designed to support parents' efforts to teach character at home by reinforcing and modeling universal human virtues, such as compassion, respect, and integrity. This Moral Focus program is explicit and integrated with the school's core curriculum. A different virtue is featured each month of the school year. Teachers model behavior that exemplifies the virtue and recognize and praise students when they do the same.

A copy of the core curriculum can be obtained by parents via written request submitted to the school administration.


5. THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

<u>2017-2018</u>

Detailed below is a graph depicting the percentage of students in our school meeting growth targets from fall 2017 to spring 2018.




Detailed below are the percentages of students performing at or above the 50th percentile on the spring 2018 administration of the NWEA MAP assessment.




2016-2017

Detailed below is a graph depicting the percentage of students in our school meeting growth target from fall 2016 to spring 2017.



Detailed below are the percentages of students performing at or above the 50th percentile on the spring 2017 administration of the NWEA MAP assessment.



6. IDENTIFY THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STUDENTS REPRESENTED BY PARENTS AT PARENT-TEACHER CONFERENCES

2017-2018

	Parent-Teac	cher Conferences	
	Grades	# of Students Represented	% of Students Represented
Fall 2017	K-8	716	92.4%
Spring 2018	K-8	732	94.3%

2016-2017

	Parent-Tead	cher Conferences	
	Grades	# of Students Represented	% of Students Represented
Fall 2016	K-8	719	93.0%
Spring 2017	K-8	683	88.4%

7. SCHOOL YEAR HIGHLIGHTS

- Achieve Charter Academy was named a National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence in the fall of 2018.
- Achieve Charter Academy students raised over \$5,000.00 for the American Heart Association in our Jump Rope for Heart.
- On the NWEA MAP assessment, over 80% of students demonstrated college readiness in reading and over 87% in math based on the national norms.
- Achieve Charter Academy earned NHA's Eagle Award as a School of Excellence.
- Achieve Charter Academy earned the designation as a school of Excellence, ranking in the 99th percentile, based on the M-STEP assessment.

We are looking forward to another rewarding and successful school year in 2019-2020!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Couley

Jennifer Conley School Principal Achieve Charter Academy 3250 Denton Road South Canton, MI 48187 734-397-0960

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Richard Gordon – President Jennifer Clark-Denson – Vice President/Treasurer Chad Childers – Secretary Emily Riehm – Director





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	School Percent Student s Proficie nt	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		Partiall y Proficie	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
ELA	3rd Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.1%	46,000	77.9%	67	77.9%	67	47.7%	41	30.2%	26	*	15	5%	4
ELA	3rd Grade Content	AII Students	2017-18	44.4%	45,377	64.4%	56	64.4%	56	35.6%	31	28.7%	25	19.5%	17	16.1%	14
ELA		America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	30.6%	193	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	63.4%	2,184	87.2%	34	87.2%	34	66.7%	26	20.5%	8	*	*	10%	1
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	64.4%	2,227	61.5%	32	61.5%	32	32.7%	17	28.8%	15	19.2%	10	19.2%	10
ELA		Black or African America n	2016-17	19.9%	3,785	50%	11	50%	11	50%	3	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	0
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	19.2%	3,666	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.0%	2,709	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc				Partiall y		Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	32.9%	2,721	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	41.0%	34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2016-17	41.7%	1,912	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2017-18	42.2%	1,967	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	3rd Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.7%	35,180	71.4%	20	71.4%	20	42.9%	12	28.6%	8	*	*	20%	2
ELA	3rd Grade Content	White	2017-18	52.3%	34,541	73.9%	17	73.9%	17	52.2%	12	21.7%	5	20%	3	20%	3
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Female	2016-17	47.7%	24,357	81.4%	35	81.4%	35	44.2%	19	37.2%	16	*	*	10%	1
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Female	2017-18	47.4%	23,793	57.4%	27	57.4%	27	34.0%	16	23.4%	11	27.7%	13	14.9%	7
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Male	2016-17	40.7%	21,643	74.4%	32	74.4%	32	51.2%	22	23.3%	10	*	8	10%	3





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s		Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Male	2017-18	41.4%	21,584	72.5%	29	72.5%	29	37.5%	15	35.0%	14	10%	4	*	7
ELA		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	29.1%	15,756	50%	10	50%	10	50%	6	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	0
ELA		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	30.3%	17,477	50%	7	50%	7	50%	3	50%	4	50%	*	50%	2
ELA	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	34.0%	3,595	84.6%	33	84.6%	33	61.5%	24	23.1%	9	*	*	10%	1
ELA	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	33.2%	3,359	63.2%	36	63.2%	36	35.1%	20	28.1%	16	19.3%	11	17.5%	10
ELA		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	19.6%	2,238	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	19.2%	2,210	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.2%	47,606	73.8%	62	73.8%	62	53.6%	45	20.2%	17	14.3%	12	11.9%	10





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s		Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA		AII Students	2017-18	45.0%	47,227	72.9%	62	72.9%	62	41.2%	35	31.8%	27	17.6%	15	9.4%	8
ELA	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	66.9%	2,379	80.0%	36	80.0%	36	64.4%	29	15.6%	7	*	5	10%	4
ELA	4th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	65.9%	2,318	87.2%	34	87.2%	34	53.8%	21	33.3%	13	10%	*	10%	2
ELA	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	19.2%	3,664	50%	6	50%	6	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA	Grade	Black or African America n	2017-18	20.2%	3,803	50%	10	50%	10	50%	4	50%	6	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA		Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.7%	2,858	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	33.9%	2,917	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2016-17	48.4%	46	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Not
ELA	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	40.1%	1,754	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	42.4%	2,001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.5%	36,664	75.0%	18	75.0%	18	37.5%	9	37.5%	9	20%	3	20%	3
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2017-18	52.5%	35,934	57.1%	16	57.1%	16	35.7%	10	21.4%	6	*	9	20%	3
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	48.6%	25,715	82.1%	32	82.1%	32	56.4%	22	25.6%	10	10%	3	*	4
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	48.1%	24,749	67.5%	27	67.5%	27	32.5%	13	35.0%	14	*	10	10%	3
ELA	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	39.9%	21,891	66.7%	30	66.7%	30	51.1%	23	15.6%	7	20.0%	9	13.3%	6
ELA	4th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	42.1%	22,478	77.8%	35	77.8%	35	48.9%	22	28.9%	13	11.1%	5	11.1%	5
ELA	4th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	28.6%	15,744	50%	7	50%	7	50%	3	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s		Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
ELA	4th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	30.4%	17,664	50%	8	50%	8	50%	5	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	0
ELA	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	22.9%	1,856	75.0%	15	75.0%	15	*	12	20%	3	20%	<3	20%	3
ELA	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	30.6%	3,006	75.0%	18	75.0%	18	37.5%	9	37.5%	9	20%	*	20%	2
ELA	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	15.8%	1,893	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	17.6%	2,158	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	22.0%	433	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	51.1%	55,086	82.8%	72	82.8%	72	52.9%	46	29.9%	26	*	11	5%	4
ELA	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	46.5%	50,658	86.2%	75	86.2%	75	48.3%	42	37.9%	33	*	8	5%	4
ELA	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.2%	2,591	83.0%	39	83.0%	39	57.4%	27	25.5%	12	*	*	10%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	5th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	71.6%	2,598	90%	44	90%	44	*	25	*	19	10%	*	10%	0
ELA	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	24.8%	4,734	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Black or African America n	2017-18	20.7%	3,978	50%	7	50%	7	50%	3	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	39.4%	3,380	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	36.0%	3,222	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	54.9%	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2016-17	48.2%	2,078	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2017-18	43.3%	1,959	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	School Percent Student s Proficie nt	Number Student s	Advanc				у		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	58.6%	41,947	80%	23	80%	23	*	15	*	8	20%	*	20%	2
ELA	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	53.8%	38,604	79.2%	19	79.2%	19	50.0%	12	29.2%	7	20%	*	20%	2
ELA	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	56.0%	29,914	82.9%	34	82.9%	34	63.4%	26	19.5%	8	*	*	10%	1
ELA	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	50.7%	27,038	86.0%	37	86.0%	37	53.5%	23	32.6%	14	10%	*	10%	2
ELA	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	46.2%	25,172	82.6%	38	82.6%	38	43.5%	20	39.1%	18	*	5	10%	3
ELA	5th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	42.5%	23,620	86.4%	38	86.4%	38	43.2%	19	43.2%	19	10%	*	10%	2
ELA	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	35.3%	19,040	50%	8	50%	8	50%	3	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	31.8%	18,816	50%	11	50%	11	50%	3	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	3
ELA	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	24.5%	1,770	50%	9	50%	9	50%	5	50%	4	50%	*	50%	1





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Advanc				Partiall y Proficie	Partiall y	Not Proficie	Not
ELA	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	20.8%	1,576	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	16.7%	1,983	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	14.8%	1,846	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	6th Grade Content	AII Students	2016-17	43.6%	46,672	76.8%	63	76.8%	63	47.6%	39	29.3%	24	*	16	5%	3
ELA	6th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	41.4%	44,939	77.4%	65	77.4%	65	44.0%	37	33.3%	28	*	15	5%	4
ELA		America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	33.4%	246	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	6th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	69.5%	2,467	90%	41	90%	41	*	29	*	12	10%	*	10%	0
ELA	6th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	66.2%	2,388	78.3%	36	78.3%	36	52.2%	24	26.1%	12	*	*	10%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Student s	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Advanc			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
ELA	6th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	19.3%	3,568	50%	7	50%	7	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	1
ELA	6th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	17.7%	3,379	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	31.6%	2,728	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	30.3%	2,638	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2016-17	40.4%	1,588	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	38.1%	1,689	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	6th Grade Content	White	2016-17	50.3%	36,045	55.0%	11	55.0%	11	20%	4	*	7	*	*	20%	1
ELA	6th Grade Content	White	2017-18	48.0%	34,579	73.9%	17	73.9%	17	26.1%	6	47.8%	11	20%	*	20%	2
ELA	6th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	48.7%	25,540	80.0%	32	80.0%	32	50.0%	20	30.0%	12	*	*	10%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	State Number Student s Proficie nt	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	6th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	45.7%	24,510	86.5%	32	86.5%	32	59.5%	22	27.0%	10	*	*	10%	1
ELA	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	38.7%	21,132	73.8%	31	73.8%	31	45.2%	19	28.6%	12	*	*	10%	1
ELA	6th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	37.2%	20,429	70.2%	33	70.2%	33	31.9%	15	38.3%	18	*	11	10%	3
ELA		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	27.1%	14,054	50%	7	50%	7	50%	3	50%	4	50%	*	50%	0
ELA		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	26.8%	15,505	50%	8	50%	8	50%	4	50%	4	50%	*	50%	2
ELA	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	14.0%	883	58.8%	10	58.8%	10	35.3%	6	23.5%	4	*	*	20%	1
ELA	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	13.5%	917	50%	6	50%	6	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1
ELA		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	10.9%	1,275	50%	4	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	School Percent Student s Proficie nt	Number Student s	Advanc			Proficie nt	Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	11.0%	1,310	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	6th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	19.1%	356	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.8%	49,372	89.4%	76	89.4%	76	51.8%	44	37.6%	32	*	6	5%	3
ELA		All Students	2017-18	43.4%	46,837	85.9%	73	85.9%	73	44.7%	38	41.2%	35	*	9	5%	3
ELA	Grade	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2017-18	35.4%	266	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	70.0%	2,607	90%	44	90%	44	*	28	*	16	10%	<3	10%	0
ELA	7th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	71.0%	2,586	90%	43	90%	43	*	31	*	12	10%	*	10%	0
ELA	7th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	21.1%	3,888	50%	8	50%	8	50%	5	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		y Proficie	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
		Black or African America n	2017-18	19.2%	3,544	50%	9	50%	9	50%	4	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	0
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.2%	2,658	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	32.4%	2,867	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		Two or More Races	2016-17	42.8%	1,655	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Two or More Races	2017-18	40.7%	1,615	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.0%	38,276	76.9%	20	76.9%	20	34.6%	9	42.3%	11	20%	*	20%	2
	7th Grade Content	White	2017-18	49.8%	35,929	72.7%	16	72.7%	16	20%	<3	*	*	20%	*	20%	2
ELA	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	50.9%	27,378	90%	40	90%	40	*	23	*	17	10%	*	10%	0
	7th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	49.0%	25,945	85.7%	36	85.7%	36	50.0%	21	35.7%	15	10%	*	10%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	District Number Student s Proficie nt	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Percent Proficie nt	Proficie nt	Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	39.1%	21,994	85.7%	36	85.7%	36	50.0%	21	35.7%	15	10%	3	10%	3
ELA	7th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	38.0%	20,892	86.0%	37	86.0%	37	39.5%	17	46.5%	20	*	*	10%	1
ELA	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	28.4%	14,561	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	28.2%	15,627	50%	11	50%	11	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	15.8%	999	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	13.8%	879	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	10.5%	1,248	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	11.0%	1,302	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s		Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA		All Students	2016-17	48.0%	52,241	88.2%	75	88.2%	75	51.8%	44	36.5%	31	5.9%	5	5.9%	5
ELA		AII Students	2017-18	42.8%	47,207	88.2%	75	88.2%	75	55.3%	47	32.9%	28	*	6	5%	4
ELA	Grade Content	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	39.2%	275	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.0%	2,706	90%	32	90%	32	*	25	*	7	10%	<3	10%	1
ELA	8th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	69.2%	2,629	90%	46	90%	46	*	32	*	14	10%	<3	10%	1
ELA	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	24.0%	4,316	50%	13	50%	13	50%	5	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA	Grade	Black or African America n	2017-18	20.1%	3,676	50%	8	50%	8	50%	3	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	2
ELA		Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	36.9%	2,948	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	31.3%	2,612	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	45.6%	1,618	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	40.4%	1,583	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	53.9%	40,325	80%	24	80%	24	*	12	*	12	20%	<3	20%	2
ELA	8th Grade Content	White	2017-18	48.4%	36,424	80%	18	80%	18	*	10	*	8	20%	*	20%	0
ELA	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	54.9%	29,312	90%	40	90%	40	*	23	*	17	10%	<3	10%	0
ELA	8th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	49.0%	26,422	86.7%	39	86.7%	39	60.0%	27	26.7%	12	10%	*	10%	2
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	41.4%	22,929	79.5%	35	79.5%	35	47.7%	21	31.8%	14	10%	4	*	5
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	36.8%	20,785	90%	36	90%	36	*	20	*	16	10%	<3	10%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		у		Not Proficie	Not
ELA	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	31.8%	15,595	50%	11	50%	11	50%	5	50%	6	50%	<3	50%	1
ELA		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	27.5%	15,044	50%	11	50%	11	50%	6	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	1
ELA		English Learners	2016-17	21.5%	1,340	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	13.5%	846	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	10.6%	1,220	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	9.5%	1,120	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	18.2%	297	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		All Students	2016-17	46.8%	48,992	70.9%	61	70.9%	61	40.7%	35	30.2%	26	18.6%	16	10.5%	9





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie		Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics		All Students	2017-18	45.7%	46,886	73.6%	64	73.6%	64	44.8%	39	28.7%	25	19.5%	17	6.9%	6
Mathem atics	Grade Content	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	36.1%	228	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.8%	2,623	89.7%	35	89.7%	35	61.5%	24	28.2%	11	10%	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	73.0%	2,616	76.9%	40	76.9%	40	46.2%	24	30.8%	16	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	20.6%	3,912	50%	9	50%	9	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics	Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	19.3%	3,688	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	33.6%	2,870	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	32.5%	2,705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Student s	Percent	Number Student s	Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	39.8%	33	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	41.8%	1,920	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	42.1%	1,968	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	White	2016-17	54.8%	37,396	60.7%	17	60.7%	17	32.1%	9	28.6%	8	*	7	20%	4
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	White	2017-18	53.8%	35,669	73.9%	17	73.9%	17	47.8%	11	26.1%	6	*	*	20%	1
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Female	2016-17	45.0%	23,053	58.1%	25	58.1%	25	32.6%	14	25.6%	11	25.6%	11	16.3%	7
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Female	2017-18	43.5%	21,895	66.0%	31	66.0%	31	29.8%	14	36.2%	17	23.4%	11	10.6%	5
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Male	2016-17	48.5%	25,939	83.7%	36	83.7%	36	48.8%	21	34.9%	15	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	3rd Grade Content	Male	2017-18	47.8%	24,991	82.5%	33	82.5%	33	62.5%	25	20.0%	8	*	*	10%	1





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s		Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	31.5%	17,140	50%	7	50%	7	50%	3	50%	4	50%	*	50%	2
Mathem atics	Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	31.1%	18,017	50%	8	50%	8	50%	3	50%	5	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics		English Learners	2016-17	41.7%	4,588	87.2%	34	87.2%	34	53.8%	21	33.3%	13	*	*	10%	0
Mathem atics		English Learners	2017-18	39.1%	4,061	78.9%	45	78.9%	45	50.9%	29	28.1%	16	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	Grade	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	23.4%	2,701	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	20.9%	2,421	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		All Students	2016-17	42.0%	45,360	71.4%	60	71.4%	60	34.5%	29	36.9%	31	*	21	5%	3
Mathem atics		All Students	2017-18	42.0%	44,105	69.4%	59	69.4%	59	42.4%	36	27.1%	23	24.7%	21	5.9%	5





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Student s	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	72.2%	2,643	82.2%	37	82.2%	37	46.7%	21	35.6%	16	*	*	10%	0
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	71.0%	2,560	84.6%	33	84.6%	33	66.7%	26	17.9%	7	*	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	14.6%	2,787	50%	6	50%	6	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics		Black or African America n	2017-18	15.2%	2,858	50%	8	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	29.1%	2,556	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	29.1%	2,527	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2016-17	49.0%	47	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	36.4%	1,596	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Student s		Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			У	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	37.3%	1,759	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	49.7%	35,505	58.3%	14	58.3%	14	29.2%	7	29.2%	7	*	*	20%	1
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	White	2017-18	49.8%	34,165	57.1%	16	57.1%	16	28.6%	8	28.6%	8	*	9	20%	3
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	39.6%	20,977	66.7%	26	66.7%	26	35.9%	14	30.8%	12	*	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	39.4%	20,299	52.5%	21	52.5%	21	30.0%	12	22.5%	9	*	16	10%	3
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	44.2%	24,383	75.6%	34	75.6%	34	33.3%	15	42.2%	19	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	44.4%	23,806	84.4%	38	84.4%	38	53.3%	24	31.1%	14	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	25.8%	14,215	50%	5	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	2
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	26.8%	15,586	50%	5	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	Year		Student s	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	25.5%	2,163	70.0%	14	70.0%	14	40.0%	8	30.0%	6	*	*	20%	0
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	31.9%	3,215	70.8%	17	70.8%	17	45.8%	11	25.0%	6	*	*	20%	1
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	16.7%	2,017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	16.8%	2,072	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	4th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	19.0%	374	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	35.0%	37,912	69.0%	60	69.0%	60	44.8%	39	24.1%	21	16.1%	14	14.9%	13
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	34.3%	37,429	79.3%	69	79.3%	69	47.1%	41	32.2%	28	13.8%	12	6.9%	6
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	65.4%	2,371	80.9%	38	80.9%	38	53.2%	25	27.7%	13	*	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	67.3%	2,495	90%	44	90%	44	*	27	*	17	10%	*	10%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		Partiall y Proficie	Partiall y	Not Proficie	Not
atics	5th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	9.4%	1,790	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics	5th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	9.4%	1,808	50%	5	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	2
atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	20.8%	1,794	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	21.5%	1,934	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics		Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	34.8%	32	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics		Two or More Races	2016-17	29.5%	1,274	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	29.7%	1,347	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	42.4%	30,455	60.7%	17	60.7%	17	*	12	20%	5	20%	<3	*	9





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	Year		Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	41.3%	29,655	62.5%	15	62.5%	15	41.7%	10	20.8%	5	*	6	20%	3
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	32.6%	17,472	63.4%	26	63.4%	26	34.1%	14	29.3%	12	17.1%	7	19.5%	8
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	31.4%	16,772	74.4%	32	74.4%	32	51.2%	22	23.3%	10	*	8	10%	3
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	37.4%	20,440	73.9%	34	73.9%	34	54.3%	25	19.6%	9	15.2%	7	10.9%	5
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	37.1%	20,657	84.1%	37	84.1%	37	43.2%	19	40.9%	18	10%	4	10%	3
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	18.8%	10,174	50%	4	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	6
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	19.6%	11,618	50%	10	50%	10	50%	6	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	4
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	15.3%	1,158	50%	9	50%	9	50%	6	50%	3	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	15.8%	1,233	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	11.0%	1,313	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	10.3%	1,284	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		All Students	2016-17	34.2%	36,642	69.9%	58	69.9%	58	44.6%	37	25.3%	21	16.9%	14	13.3%	11
Mathem atics		All Students	2017-18	34.6%	37,588	72.6%	61	72.6%	61	53.6%	45	19.0%	16	20.2%	17	7.1%	6
Mathem atics	Grade Content	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	24.8%	182	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	65.9%	2,385	82.2%	37	82.2%	37	62.2%	28	20.0%	9	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	66.4%	2,442	78.3%	36	78.3%	36	63.0%	29	15.2%	7	*	*	10%	2
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	9.3%	1,719	50%	6	50%	6	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		Partiall y Proficie		Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	9.7%	1,856	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	20.5%	1,778	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	22.1%	1,933	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics		Two or More Races	2016-17	29.6%	1,158	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	29.6%	1,309	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	White	2016-17	41.0%	29,398	55.0%	11	55.0%	11	20%	4	*	7	20%	3	*	6
atics	6th Grade Content	White	2017-18	41.4%	29,843	65.2%	15	65.2%	15	*	11	20%	4	*	5	20%	3
	6th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	33.1%	17,427	68.3%	28	68.3%	28	43.9%	18	24.4%	10	19.5%	8	12.2%	5
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	33.4%	17,949	70.3%	26	70.3%	26	54.1%	20	16.2%	6	*	8	10%	3





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	State Number Student s Proficie nt	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie	Proficie nt	Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	35.2%	19,215	71.4%	30	71.4%	30	45.2%	19	26.2%	11	14.3%	6	14.3%	6
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	35.7%	19,639	74.5%	35	74.5%	35	53.2%	25	21.3%	10	*	9	10%	3
Mathem atics	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	18.0%	9,341	50%	6	50%	6	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	4	50%	3
Mathem atics	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	19.3%	11,173	50%	5	50%	5	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	7	50%	3
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	13.4%	890	61.1%	11	61.1%	11	*	8	20%	3	*	4	20%	3
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	13.5%	937	50%	6	50%	6	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	2
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	8.1%	944	50%	3	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	7
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	8.2%	977	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade		School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	6th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	13.0%	242	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		All Students	2016-17	36.2%	39,926	83.5%	71	83.5%	71	63.5%	54	20.0%	17	*	*	5%	2
Mathem atics		All Students	2017-18	35.7%	38,560	80.0%	68	80.0%	68	58.8%	50	21.2%	18	*	13	5%	4
Mathem atics	Grade Content	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2017-18	26.6%	199	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	67.0%	2,545	90%	43	90%	43	*	35	*	8	10%	<3	10%	0
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	68.9%	2,547	90%	43	90%	43	*	37	*	6	10%	*	10%	0
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	10.8%	1,990	50%	7	50%	7	50%	4	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	1
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2017-18	10.1%	1,850	50%	6	50%	6	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Number Student s		Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	21.2%	1,759	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	22.0%	1,960	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		Two or More Races	2016-17	31.9%	1,229	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2017-18	31.2%	1,236	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	42.9%	32,196	65.4%	17	65.4%	17	42.3%	11	23.1%	6	*	*	20%	1
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	White	2017-18	42.6%	30,743	63.6%	14	63.6%	14	31.8%	7	31.8%	7	20%	4	20%	4
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	35.1%	18,906	88.4%	38	88.4%	38	62.8%	27	25.6%	11	10%	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	34.6%	18,352	81.0%	34	81.0%	34	57.1%	24	23.8%	10	*	5	10%	3
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	37.3%	21,020	78.6%	33	78.6%	33	64.3%	27	14.3%	6	*	*	10%	1





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie		Percent Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie	Proficie nt	Partiall y	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	36.7%	20,208	79.1%	34	79.1%	34	60.5%	26	18.6%	8	*	*	10%	1
Mathem atics		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	19.0%	9,741	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	19.9%	11,060	50%	9	50%	9	50%	4	50%	5	50%	*	50%	1
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	13.0%	860	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	12.9%	847	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics		Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	7.5%	886	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	7.7%	903	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	33.5%	36,567	77.6%	66	77.6%	66	61.2%	52	16.5%	14	14.1%	12	8.2%	7





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics		All Students	2017-18	33.6%	37,102	78.8%	67	78.8%	67	64.7%	55	14.1%	12	15.3%	13	5.9%	5
Mathem atics	Grade Content	America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	21.8%	154	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	67.0%	2,530	88.6%	31	88.6%	31	*	*	10%	<3	10%	<3	10%	3
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	68.0%	2,606	90%	44	90%	44	*	38	*	6	10%	*	10%	1
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	10.1%	1,812	50%	9	50%	9	50%	4	50%	5	50%	*	50%	2
Mathem atics	Grade	Black or African America n	2017-18	10.8%	1,978	50%	8	50%	8	50%	5	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	1
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	19.5%	1,572	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	20.4%	1,707	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	School Percent Student s Proficie nt	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		y Proficie		Not Proficie	Not
atics		Two or More Races	2016-17	28.9%	1,025	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
atics		Two or More Races	2017-18	29.4%	1,147	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	39.2%	29,443	78.6%	22	78.6%	22	*	17	20%	5	20%	*	20%	2
atics	8th Grade Content	White	2017-18	39.2%	29,464	54.5%	12	54.5%	12	*	9	20%	3	*	7	20%	3
	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	35.2%	18,862	82.9%	34	82.9%	34	68.3%	28	14.6%	6	*	*	10%	1
	8th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	35.5%	19,135	80.0%	36	80.0%	36	64.4%	29	15.6%	7	*	*	10%	2
atics	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	31.8%	17,705	72.7%	32	72.7%	32	54.5%	24	18.2%	8	13.6%	6	13.6%	6
atics	8th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	31.8%	17,967	77.5%	31	77.5%	31	65.0%	26	12.5%	5	*	6	10%	3
	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	16.9%	8,361	50%	9	50%	9	50%	6	50%	3	50%	*	50%	1





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Mathem atics		Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	17.9%	9,793	50%	7	50%	7	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	4	50%	3
Mathem atics		English Learners	2016-17	14.2%	935	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	12.4%	796	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	5.6%	641	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	Grade	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	5.7%	670	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathem atics	8th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	9.9%	163	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science		All Students	2016-17	14.6%	15,781	38.1%	32	38.1%	32	23.8%	20	14.3%	12	36.9%	31	25.0%	21
Science	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	27.8%	1,017	44.4%	20	44.4%	20	31.1%	14	13.3%	6	40.0%	18	15.6%	7





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Science	Grade	Black or African America n	2016-17	2.8%	525	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	<3	*	3	*	5
Science	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	6.7%	589	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	Grade Content	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2016-17	12.5%	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science		Two or More Races	2016-17	13.0%	568	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	18.2%	12,999	37.5%	9	37.5%	9	*	6	20%	3	33.3%	8	29.2%	7
Science	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	12.6%	6,689	30.8%	12	30.8%	12	*	9	10%	3	41.0%	16	28.2%	11
Science	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	16.5%	9,092	44.4%	20	44.4%	20	24.4%	11	20.0%	9	33.3%	15	22.2%	10
Science	Grade	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	6.8%	3,772	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	<3	*	5	*	5





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Student s		Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Advanc			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
Science	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	4.3%	364	35.0%	7	35.0%	7	*	*	20%	<3	*	9	20%	4
Science	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	5.3%	638	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	22.7%	25,081	60.0%	51	60.0%	51	25.9%	22	34.1%	29	27.1%	23	12.9%	11
Science	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	41.3%	1,568	70.5%	31	70.5%	31	27.3%	12	43.2%	19	*	*	10%	2
Science	-	Black or African America n	2016-17	5.1%	943	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	<3	50%	<3	*	4	*	4
Science		Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	11.8%	977	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	21.0%	810	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	27.5%	20,638	57.7%	15	57.7%	15	23.1%	6	34.6%	9	*	7	20%	4
Science	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	21.2%	11,397	60.5%	26	60.5%	26	27.9%	12	32.6%	14	*	13	10%	4





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Student s		Number Student s	Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Science	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	24.3%	13,684	59.5%	25	59.5%	25	23.8%	10	35.7%	15	23.8%	10	16.7%	7
Science	7th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	10.5%	5,405	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	3.4%	225	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Science	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	5.2%	618	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	21.6%	23,411	59.8%	52	59.8%	52	10.3%	9	49.4%	43	33.3%	29	6.9%	6
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	18.3%	19,952	46.0%	40	46.0%	40	13.8%	12	32.2%	28	*	44	5%	3
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	38.0%	1,377	59.6%	28	59.6%	28	10.6%	5	48.9%	23	*	*	10%	2
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	35.5%	1,309	57.4%	27	57.4%	27	14.9%	7	42.6%	20	*	*	10%	0
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	4.8%	923	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		у		Not Proficie	Not
Social Studies	Grade	Black or African America n	2017-18	4.7%	894	50%	3	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	1
Social Studies	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	11.4%	981	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	9.5%	854	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	Grade Content	Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	18.5%	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies		Two or More Races	2016-17	18.8%	810	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies		Two or More Races	2017-18	15.3%	694	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	26.7%	19,173	57.1%	16	57.1%	16	20%	4	*	12	*	9	20%	3
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	22.4%	16,088	37.5%	9	37.5%	9	20%	3	*	6	*	*	20%	2





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year		Student s	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed			Partiall y	Number Partiall y Proficie nt	Not Proficie	Number Not Proficie nt
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	19.3%	10,329	56.1%	23	56.1%	23	10%	4	*	19	*	*	10%	1
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	16.3%	8,676	41.9%	18	41.9%	18	11.6%	5	30.2%	13	*	*	10%	2
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	23.9%	13,082	63.0%	29	63.0%	29	10.9%	5	52.2%	24	26.1%	12	10.9%	5
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	20.3%	11,276	50.0%	22	50.0%	22	15.9%	7	34.1%	15	*	*	10%	1
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	10.0%	5,430	50%	5	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	3	50%	3
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	8.9%	5,288	50%	3	50%	3	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	2
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	4.6%	348	50%	4	50%	4	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	1
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	4.0%	310	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	6.4%	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Student s	Number Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc		Proficie		y Proficie	Partiall	Not Proficie	Not
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	5.4%	674	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	31.4%	34,253	68.2%	58	68.2%	58	14.1%	12	54.1%	46	24.7%	21	7.1%	6
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	29.3%	32,248	67.1%	57	67.1%	57	18.8%	16	48.2%	41	*	*	5%	1
		America n Indian or Alaska Native	2016-17	27.2%	192	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	53.4%	2,014	82.9%	29	82.9%	29	11.4%	4	71.4%	25	10%	3	10%	3
	8th Grade Content	Asian	2017-18	49.9%	1,907	77.1%	37	77.1%	37	22.9%	11	54.2%	26	*	*	10%	1
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2016-17	9.0%	1,621	50%	7	50%	7	50%	4	50%	3	50%	*	50%	2
	8th Grade Content	Black or African America n	2017-18	7.8%	1,418	50%	5	50%	5	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	0





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Student s	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall V		Not Proficie	Not
Social Studies		Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	19.3%	1,554	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	18.0%	1,504	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	28.4%	1,008	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies		Two or More Races	2017-18	27.2%	1,061	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	37.1%	27,834	64.3%	18	64.3%	18	20%	4	*	14	*	*	20%	0
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2017-18	34.8%	26,174	59.1%	13	59.1%	13	20%	3	*	10	*	*	20%	0
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	28.6%	15,328	70.7%	29	70.7%	29	17.1%	7	53.7%	22	*	*	10%	1
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	26.5%	14,287	64.4%	29	64.4%	29	11.1%	5	53.3%	24	*	*	10%	1
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	34.0%	18,925	65.9%	29	65.9%	29	11.4%	5	54.5%	24	22.7%	10	11.4%	5





Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Percent Student s Proficie	Number Student s Proficie	Percent Student s	Student s	Percent Student s	Number Student s	Advanc	Number Advanc ed	Proficie		Partiall y		Not Proficie	Not
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2017-18	31.9%	17,961	70.0%	28	70.0%	28	27.5%	11	42.5%	17	*	*	10%	0
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2016-17	16.4%	8,066	50%	8	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	2
Social Studies	Content	Economi cally Disadva ntaged	2017-18	15.4%	8,366	50%	8	50%	8	50%	<3	50%	*	50%	*	50%	0
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	7.8%	515	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2017-18	6.0%	386	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	Grade	Students With Disabiliti es	2016-17	8.1%	935	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabiliti es	2017-18	7.0%	818	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Homeles s	2017-18	9.6%	157	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

SAT

Location	School Year	Subject	Student	Mean SAT	Benchmark	Met or	% Met or	Did Not Meet	% Did Not	Number
Name			Group	Score		Exceeded	Exceeded		Meet	Assessed

No Data to Display



MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	82.7%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	68.3%	*	*	*	*	*
Science	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	54.4%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	84.2%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	71.7%	*	*	*	*	*
Science	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	59.1%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	85.8%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	65.6%	*	*	*	*	*
Science	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	54.0%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	80.3%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	48.3%	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	All Students	2017-18	32.0%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	83.2%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	52.1%	*	*	*	*	*



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Students Proficient		School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
	5th Grade Content	White	2017-18	35.5%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	82.8%	*	*	*	*	*
	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	45.0%	*	*	*	*	*
	5th Grade Content	Female	2017-18	31.4%	*	*	*	*	*



MI -Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	80.3%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	76.6%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	80.3%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	76.2%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	78.2%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	76.4%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	81.1%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	76.9%	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	85.2%	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	85.2%	*	*	*	*	*



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

MI -Access Participation

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient		School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	---	--	--	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------

No Data to Display



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI - Access	Percent Tested - Any MI - Access		Percent Tested - Functional Independe nce	Tested - Supported Independe	Percent Tested - Supported Independe nce		Percent Tested - Participatio n
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	All Students	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	American Indian or Alaska Native	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Asian	2017-18	278	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Black or African American	2017-18	60	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	15	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Two or More Races	2017-18	14	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	White	2017-18	143	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Female	2017-18	255	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Male	2017-18	259	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	81	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI- Access	Percent Tested - Any MI- Access		Percent Tested - Functional Independe nce	Tested - Supported Independe	Percent Tested - Supported Independe nce		Percent Tested - Participatio n
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	433	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	English Learners	2017-18	109	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not English Learners	2017-18	405	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not Migrant	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Students With Disabilities	2017-18	28	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Students Without Disabilities	2017-18	486	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Homeless	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not Homeless	2017-18	511	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not Foster Care	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ELA	All Grades (Combined)	Not Military Connected	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	All Students	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI- Access	Percent Tested - Any MI- Access	Number Tested - Functional Independe nce		Number Tested - Supported Independe nce	Percent Tested - Supported Independe nce	Number Tested - Participatio n	Percent Tested - Participatio n
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	American Indian or Alaska Native	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Asian	2017-18	278	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Black or African American	2017-18	60	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	15	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Two or More Races	2017-18	14	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	White	2017-18	143	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Female	2017-18	255	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Male	2017-18	259	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	81	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI- Access	Percent Tested - Any MI- Access	Number Tested - Functional Independe nce	Percent Tested - Functional Independe nce	Number Tested - Supported Independe nce		Number Tested - Participatio n	Percent Tested - Participatio n
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	433	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	English Learners	2017-18	109	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not English Learners	2017-18	405	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not Migrant	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Students With Disabilities	2017-18	28	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Students Without Disabilities	2017-18	486	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Homeless	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not Homeless	2017-18	511	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not Foster Care	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	All Grades (Combined)	Not Military Connected	2017-18	514	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	All Students	2017-18	173	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Asian	2017-18	95	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI - Access	Percent Tested - Any MI - Access	Number Tested - Functional Independe nce		Number Tested - Supported Independe nce	Percent Tested - Supported Independe nce	Tested - Participatio	Percent Tested - Participatio n
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Black or African American	2017-18	21	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Hispanic of Any Race	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Two or More Races	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	White	2017-18	47	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Female	2017-18	89	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Male	2017-18	84	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	29	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not Economicall y Disadvantag ed	2017-18	144	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	English Learners	2017-18	11	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not English Learners	2017-18	162	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	Number Tested - M-STEP, SAT or MI- Access	Number Tested - Any MI- Access	Percent Tested - Any MI - Access	Number Tested - Functional Independe nce	Percent Tested - Functional Independe nce	Tested -	Percent Tested - Supported Independe nce		Percent Tested - Participatio n
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not Migrant	2017-18	173	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Students With Disabilities	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Students Without Disabilities	2017-18	165	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Homeless	2017-18	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not Homeless	2017-18	172	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not Foster Care	2017-18	173	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Social Studies	All Grades (Combined)	Not Military Connected	2017-18	173	<10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Not Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Not Tested Total	District Percent Proficient *	School Tested Total	School Not Tested Total	School Percent Proficient **
All Students	ELA	98.7%	0.1%	47.33%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	79.56%
All Students	Mathemati cs	98.8%	1.2%	38.72%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	76.39%
All Students	Science	97.8%	2.2%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
All Students	Social Studies	97.7%	2.3%	32.57%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	56.89%
American Indian or Alaska Native	ELA	97.8%	0.0%	38.17%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	Mathemati cs	98.0%	2.0%	28.39%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	Science	96.2%	3.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	Social Studies	96.2%	3.8%	26.22%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	ELA	98.9%	1.2%	69.51%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	84.36%
Asian	Mathemati cs	99.3%	0.7%	69.47%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	86.18%
Asian	Science	99.0%	1.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Asian	Social Studies	98.6%	1.4%	50.74%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	66.67%
Black or African American	ELA	97.9%	0.0%	23.02%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	78.95%
Black or African American	Mathemati cs	98.0%	2.0%	13.99%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	61.40%
Black or African American	Science	96.1%	3.9%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Black or African American	Social Studies	96.0%	4.0%	11.40%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	42.11%
Hispanic of Any Race	ELA	98.7%	0.4%	35.55%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	73.33%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Not Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Not Tested Total	District Percent Proficient *	School Tested Total	School Not Tested Total	School Percent Proficient **
Hispanic of Any Race	Mathemati cs	98.8%	1.2%	25.34%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	73.33%
Hispanic of Any Race	Science	97.7%	2.3%	N/A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic of Any Race	Social Studies	97.5%	2.5%	20.88%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	ELA	98.1%	1.1%	51.03%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Mathemati cs	97.9%	2.1%	38.63%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Science	96.5%	3.5%	N/A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Social Studies	96.2%	3.8%	33.46%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Two or More Races	ELA	98.7%	0.0%	44.35%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	61.54%
Two or More Races	Mathemati cs	98.8%	1.2%	35.00%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	61.54%
Two or More Races	Science	97.8%	2.2%	N/A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Two or More Races	Social Studies	97.7%	2.3%	28.54%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	ELA	98.9%	0.1%	53.90%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	72.86%
White	Mathemati cs	99.0%	1.0%	45.19%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	64.29%
White	Science	98.2%	1.8%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
White	Social Studies	98.1%	1.9%	38.15%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	48.89%
Female	ELA	98.8%	0.1%	51.36%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	78.80%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Not Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Not Tested Total	District Percent Proficient *	School Tested Total	School Not Tested Total	School Percent Proficient **
Female	Mathemati cs	98.9%	1.1%	37.11%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	72.00%
Female	Science	98.0%	2.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Female	Social Studies	97.9%	2.1%	29.94%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	55.81%
Male	ELA	98.6%	0.1%	43.47%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	80.31%
Male	Mathemati cs	98.7%	1.3%	40.26%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	80.71%
Male	Science	97.7%	2.3%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Male	Social Studies	97.5%	2.5%	35.10%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	58.02%
Economical ly Disadvanta ged	ELA	98.3%	0.1%	32.40%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	71.62%
Economical ly Disadvanta ged	Mathemati cs	98.4%	1.6%	23.76%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	58.11%
Economical ly Disadvanta ged	Science	96.9%	3.1%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Economical ly Disadvanta ged	Social Studies	96.8%	3.2%	18.67%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	37.50%
English Learners	ELA	98.4%	1.8%	23.27%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	66.97%
English Learners	Mathemati cs	98.9%	1.1%	23.08%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	75.23%
English Learners	Science	98.1%	1.9%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
English Learners	Social Studies	97.7%	2.3%	7.02%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	36.36%
Migrant	ELA	98.1%	0.8%	17.96%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	Mathemati cs	97.8%	2.2%	14.81%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	Science	95.5%	4.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	Social Studies	94.4%	5.6%	10.70%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Not Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Not Tested Total	District Percent Proficient *	School Tested Total	School Not Tested Total	School Percent Proficient
Students With Disabilities	ELA	97.4%	0.0%	24.46%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	32.14%
Students With Disabilities	Mathemati cs	97.9%	2.1%	19.21%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	28.57%
Students With Disabilities	Science	94.6%	5.4%	N/A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students With Disabilities	Social Studies	94.4%	5.6%	12.66%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Homeless	ELA	95.9%	0.3%	25.16%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Homeless	Mathemati cs	96.2%	3.8%	17.34%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Homeless	Science	93.2%	6.8%	N/A	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Homeless	Social Studies	93.2%	6.8%	13.58%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Foster Care	ELA	94.4%	0.0%	25.58%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foster Care	Mathemati cs	94.6%	5.4%	17.49%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foster Care	Science	90.2%	9.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foster Care	Social Studies	90.0%	10.0%	11.94%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Military Connected	ELA	98.0%	0.0%	55.30%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Military Connected	Mathemati cs	98.4%	1.6%	43.23%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Military Connected	Science	97.4%	2.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Military Connected	Social Studies	96.3%	3.7%	36.16%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

High School Graduation: Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Rate

dent Group Baseline Data Most Recent Results	Interim Objective	Interim Objective	Long-Term Target
--	-------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Percentage of English Learners Making Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency

Student Group		Most Recent Results (2018)	Interim Objective (2020)	Interim Objective (2022)	Long-Term Target (2025)
English Learners	46.41%	75.15%	49.27%	50.69%	52.12%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Accountability Details Attendance Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	80.08%	N/A	93.66%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.





New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Academic Proficiency

Student Group	Student Group	Baseline Data (2016)	Most Recent Results (2018)	Interim Objective (2020)	Interim Objective (2022)	Long-Term Target (2025)
All Students	ELA	49.14%	79.56%	53.97%	56.38%	60.00%
Economically Disadvantaged	ELA	32.83%	71.62%	44.90%	50.94%	60.00%
English Learners	ELA	22.25%	66.97%	39.03%	47.42%	60.00%
Students With Disabilities	ELA	18.87%	32.14%	37.15%	46.29%	60.00%
African American	ELA	23.26%	78.95%	39.59%	47.75%	60.00%
American Indian	ELA	40.78%	<10	49.32%	53.59%	60.00%
Asian	ELA	70.34%	84.36%	70.34%	70.34%	60.00%
Native Hawaiian	ELA	53.54%	<10	56.41%	57.85%	60.00%
Hispanic of Any Race	ELA	36.15%	73.33%	46.75%	52.05%	60.00%
Two or More Races	ELA	46.76%	61.54%	52.64%	55.59%	60.00%
White	ELA	56.05%	72.86%	57.81%	58.68%	60.00%
All Students	Mathematics	37.55%	76.39%	41.99%	44.22%	47.55%
Economically Disadvantaged	Mathematics	21.92%	58.11%	33.31%	39.01%	47.55%
English Learners	Mathematics	21.04%	75.23%	32.82%	38.71%	47.55%
Students With Disabilities	Mathematics	15.57%	28.57%	29.78%	36.89%	47.55%
African American	Mathematics	12.43%	61.40%	28.04%	35.85%	47.55%
American Indian	Mathematics	28.50%	<10	36.97%	41.20%	47.55%
Asian	Mathematics	68.19%	86.18%	68.19%	68.19%	47.55%
Native Hawaiian	Mathematics	41.85%	<10	44.38%	45.65%	47.55%
Hispanic of Any Race	Mathematics	23.63%	73.33%	34.26%	39.58%	47.55%
Two or More Races	Mathematics	34.42%	61.54%	40.26%	43.17%	47.55%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Academic Proficiency

Student Group	Student Group	Baseline Data (2016)	Most Recent Results (2018)	Interim Objective (2020)	Interim Objective (2022)	Long-Term Target (2025)
White	Mathematics	43.95%	64.29%	45.55%	46.35%	47.55%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Accountability Index Data

School Name				Index Value	<i>3</i>	General Participation Index Value	Participation			Reason for Identification
Achieve Charter Academy	100.00	99.56	N/A	100.00	95.57	100.00	100.00	99.14	N/A	N/A



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Achieve Charter Academy (00110)	0	20	16	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Inexperienced Teachers

	Total Number of Staffing Group	Number Inexperience d	Percent Inexperience d	Count High- Poverty Schools	<i>3</i>	Count Low- Poverty Schools	Percent Low- Poverty Schools
Achieve Charter Academy (00110)	34.80	12.80	36.8%	N/A	N/A	12.80	36.8%

Inexperienced Principals and Other School Leaders

	Total Number	Number	Percent	Count High-	Percent High-	Count Low-	Percent Low-
	of Staffing	Inexperience	Inexperience	Poverty	Poverty	Poverty	Poverty
	Group	d	d	Schools	Schools	Schools	Schools
Achieve Charter Academy (00110)	4.01	0.00	0.0%	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.0%

Teacher Emergency or Provisional Credentials

			Emergency or	9	<i>J</i>	Poverty	Percent Low- Poverty Schools
Achieve Charter Academy (00110)	34.80	0.00	0.0%	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.0%

Out-of-Field Teachers

	Total Number of Teachers	Number of Out-of-Field Teachers	Percent of Out-of-Field Teachers	Count High- Poverty Schools	<i>3</i>	Count Low- Poverty Schools	Percent Low- Poverty Schools
Achieve Charter Academy (00110)	34.80	1.90	5.5%	N/A	N/A	1.90	5.5%



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

LEA School Improvement Fund Recipients

District Name	School Name	Type of School	Strategies
			Implemented

No Data to Display



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	25	39	28	7
Male Female	50 50	25 25	39 42	28 26	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	48 43 1	40 12 ‡	42 36 ‡	17 39 ‡	2 13 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black or African American Hispanic Asian American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Two or More Races	65 17 8 5 ‡ ‡	17 55 39 9 ‡ ‡ 24	41 36 43 21 ‡ ‡	34 9 15 42 ‡ ‡ 26	8 1 4 28 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	11 89	59 21	28 40	11 30	2 8
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	41 24	40 39	16 29	2 8

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2017 Mathematics Achievement.



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male Female	51 49	35 32	34 37	21 23	10 7
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	41 58 1	52 20 ‡	32 38 ‡	13 29 ‡	2 13 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black or African American Hispanic Asian American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Two or More Races	71 15 7 4 1 ‡	26 67 48 13 ‡ ‡	38 24 36 23 ‡ ‡	27 8 12 27 ‡ †	10 1 3 38 ‡ ‡ 6
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	46 58	45 20	37 38	15 29	3 13
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	6 94	75 31	23 26	2 24	# 9

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2017 Mathematics Achievement.



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male Female	51 49	35 32	34 37	21 23	10 7
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	41 58 1	52 20 ‡	32 38 ‡	13 29 ‡	2 13 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black or African American Hispanic Asian American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Two or More Races	71 15 7 4 1 ‡	26 67 48 13 ‡ ‡	38 24 36 23 ‡ ‡	27 8 12 27 ‡ ‡	10 1 3 38 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	46 58	45 20	37 38	15 29	3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	6 94	75 31	23 36	2 24	# 9

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2017 Reading Assessment.



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male Female	51 49	35 32	34 37	21 23	10 7
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	41 58 1	52 20 ‡	32 38 ‡	13 29 ‡	2 13 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black or Afican American Hispanic Asian/Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander American Indian or Alaska Native Two or More Races	71 15 7 4 1 ‡	26 67 48 13 ‡ ‡	38 24 36 23 ‡ ‡	27 8 12 27 ‡ †	10 1 3 38 ‡ ‡ 6
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	46 58	45 20	37 38	15 29	3 13
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	6 94	75 31	23 36	2 24	# 9

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2017 Reading Assessment.



New Annual Education Report Achieve Charter Academy (00110)

NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	
4	Math Reading	81 82	2.7 3.3		2.7 3.4
8	Math Reading	85 84	2.4 2.4	86 92	3.2 1.9