



May 30, 2018

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2016-2017 educational progress for Excel Charter Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Becky Busher for assistance.


The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/excel/> and clicking on the "Annual Education Report" link at the top of the page or you may review a copy from the school's office at your child's school. You may also access the AER by clicking here: <https://goo.gl/tRvEWn>

For the 2016-17 school year, schools were identified using new definitions and labels as required in the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). A Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) school is one that has at least one underperforming student subgroup. An Additional Targeted Support (ATS) school is one that has three or more underperforming student subgroups. A Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) school is one whose performance is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state. Some schools are not identified with any of these labels. In these cases, no label is given.

Our school has not been given one of these labels.

Our key initiatives will involve, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Grade level team meetings will occur a minimum of once a week. Interim assessments will be utilized for backwards planning and for forming small groups as students will analyzing student work. The instructional core will be a focus as grade levels focus on differentiating instruction and increasing cognitive engagement.
2. An instructional rounds team was formed and patterns and trends identified as to strengths and growth areas for the school. Cognitive engagement was identified as one key area in which to hold professional development.
3. Accountable talk and academic interaction were areas of focus. This occurred with SIOP training at the beginning of the year, but also through strategic follow up throughout the year during professional development times.
4. Math Stories were a focus in kindergarten through second grade. Problem solving has been shown to be a weakness in math, and math stories has a big emphasis on students thinking about math and using modeling and manipulatives.
5. Fountas and Pinnell training occurred for staff in the fall and continues to be the primary reading intervention program used.

- 
6. Rocket Math continues to be used as a key resource to encourage math fluency.
  7. I-Ready and IXL are blended tools that are used for differentiation for both math and reading. I-Ready is used primarily in grades K-5 and IXL for grades 6-8.
  8. Tutoring after school and during the summer will continue to occur for some students below grade level who are needing extra assistance. In addition, there will be a targeted summer program for two weeks for students below grade level.
  9. There is a summer remote program where all students have the opportunity to practice a bridge book and read books over the summer.
  10. MSTEP data, interim assessments, NWEA, benchmarking through Fountas and Pinnell and delta math, and formative classroom assessments will all be used to measure student progress and to differentiate instruction based on results.
  11. More resources have been added to the moral focus library, with a goal of each teacher spending at least 15 minutes, three times a week, intentionally teaching moral focus curriculum.

State law requires that we also report additional information.


#### 1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

##### 2016-2017

Excel Charter Academy is a state-funded, public school academy. Therefore, there is no cost to attend the Academy. The school is open to any child wishing to attend. Students must be age-appropriate for their respective grade, and they must reside in the state of Michigan.

By law, the school cannot restrict enrollment based on selection criteria. The school can, however, limit the total number of students who may attend the school. If the number of applications exceeds the number of offered seats, a random selection process will determine who attends the school.

The school's Open Enrollment period begins on the first day of the current school year and ends at 5 p.m. on the last day of business in February of the current school year. During this Open Enrollment period, all applications receive equal consideration regardless of the date submitted. At the close of Open Enrollment, all applications received during the Open Enrollment period will be counted. If applications received are fewer than offered seats in each and every grade level, all applicants will be accepted and will receive a notice of acceptance into their respective grades. If the number of applications received exceeds the number of offered seats in any grade level, a random selection process will take place for all affected grade levels. Names are randomly selected until all offered seats have been filled. Any remaining names are selected to establish waiting list priority. Applications received after the Open Enrollment period will be accepted until the end of the current school year and available seats will be filled.



Once students are Enrolled and remain Enrolled, they will remain eligible to be Re-Enrolled at the school for successive years without having to re-apply. However, they will be requested to complete a re-enrollment form by the end of the Open Enrollment period showing their intent to Re-Enroll for the subsequent school year. All applicants on a waiting list must submit an application for the following school year during the next Open Enrollment period.

In an effort to accommodate parents who have more than one child eligible for enrollment, siblings of accepted and currently Enrolled students are given enrollment priority over children who have no such siblings. Siblings of currently Enrolled students at the school will be drawn first in the random selection process, if one is necessary, for offered seats. In addition, if a child is selected during the random selection process, that child's sibling will be offered an available offered seat or the next spot on the waiting list in his/her respective grade. Though siblings are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a previously Enrolled student.

In addition to sibling preference, children of staff members employed at the school working a regularly scheduled minimum of 20 hours per week (at least 0.5 FTE) or children of current board members are given enrollment priority. Though these children are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a sibling, or a previously Enrolled student.


All applications received after the Open Enrollment Period will not be eligible to participate in the random selection process, and will be added to the end of the accepted list if offered seats are still available after the random selection process, or to the resulting waiting list created at the time of the random selection process.

### 2015-2016

Excel Charter Academy is a state-funded, public school academy. Therefore, there is no cost to attend the Academy. The school is open to any child wishing to attend. Students must be age-appropriate for their respective grade, and they must reside in the state of Michigan.

By law, the school cannot restrict enrollment based on selection criteria. The school can, however, limit the total number of students who may attend the school. If the number of applications exceeds the number of offered seats, a random selection process will determine who attends the school.

The school's Open Enrollment period begins on the first day of the current school year and ends at 5 p.m. on the last day of business in February of the current school year. During this Open Enrollment period, all applications receive equal consideration regardless of the date submitted. At the close of Open Enrollment, all applications received during the Open Enrollment period will be counted. If applications received are fewer than offered seats in each and every grade level, all applicants will be accepted and will receive a notice of acceptance into their respective grades. If the number of applications received exceeds the number of offered seats in any grade level, a random selection process will take place for all affected grade levels. Names are



randomly selected until all offered seats have been filled. Any remaining names are selected to establish waiting list priority. Applications received after the Open Enrollment period will be accepted until the end of the current school year and available seats will be filled.

Once students are Enrolled and remain Enrolled, they will remain eligible to be Re-Enrolled at the school for successive years without having to re-apply. However, they will be requested to complete a re-enrollment form by the end of the Open Enrollment period showing their intent to Re-Enroll for the subsequent school year. All applicants on a waiting list must submit an application for the following school year during the next Open Enrollment period.

In an effort to accommodate parents who have more than one child eligible for enrollment, siblings of accepted and currently Enrolled students are given enrollment priority over children who have no such siblings. Siblings of currently Enrolled students at the school will be drawn first in the random selection process, if one is necessary, for offered seats. In addition, if a child is selected during the random selection process, that child's sibling will be offered an available offered seat or the next spot on the waiting list in his/her respective grade. Though siblings are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a previously Enrolled student.

In addition to sibling preference, children of staff members employed at the school working a regularly scheduled minimum of 20 regularly scheduled hours per week (at least 0.5 FTE) or children of current board members are given enrollment priority. Though these children are afforded priority for offered seats, they are not given priority over a sibling, or a previously Enrolled student.

All applications received after the Open Enrollment Period will not be eligible to participate in the random selection process, and will be added to the end of the accepted list if offered seats are still available after the random selection process, or to the resulting waiting list created at the time of the random selection process.


## 2. THE STATUS OF THE 3-5 YEAR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

2016-2017

<https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/excel-charter-academy/en/school-operations>

### **A. Describe how data led your School Improvement Team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum**

The school's School Improvement Team reviews data formally and informally throughout each school year as part of its continuous school improvement process. In spring 2017, the school reviewed school and student performance data and perceptual data aligned with the Michigan School Improvement Framework. This review included the following data, among others:


- 
- Student achievement on norm-referenced assessments, including Northwest Evaluation Association's (NWEA) Primary Grades Assessment and Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), criterion-referenced assessments (state assessment), and classroom assessments
  - Student demographics
  - Discipline, tardy, truancy, and attendance rates
  - Parent surveys
  - Staff surveys and teacher quality information
  - Programs and processes Data

As a result of the School Improvement Team's review of data, priority improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum were selected for the upcoming school year.

**B. Describe your implementation plan for the core academic improvement objectives identified in your school improvement process. You may choose to describe your overall curricular implementation plan or you may choose to describe the plan in each content area.**

The school improvement team continued to review and revise the School Improvement Plan throughout 2016-17, including completing a comprehensive needs assessment. The overall goal is for all students to be proficient in math, ELA, science, and social studies as measured by both the MSTEP and the NWEA MAP test. The team met almost monthly in an effort to continually analyze methods of instruction, curriculum, and program design and their effectiveness. Some of the observable efforts were as follows:

- We completed the seventh year of response to intervention (RTI) for reading, specifically working with grades K-5. Fountas and Pinnell benchmarking was used and students receiving intervention services were progress monitored regularly.
- Reading interventionists continued to work with students in tier 2 or tier 3 based on the response to the intervention model. Students were selected for caseloads based on MSTEP testing, NWEA testing, or Fountas and Pinnell testing. Intervention programs included A-Z reading, Sound Partners, EBLI, I-Ready, and others.
- A focus on formative assessments continued in all classrooms, with the goal being proficiency on the common core standards in all subjects. Interim assessments were used twice during the year across grades that covered multiple units and standards.
- A math response to intervention program was used for grades 1-6. A screener called Delta Math was used to help identify students needing support, and in which areas they needed support. Math interventionists worked specifically on grade level standards not mastered yet by students.

- 
- A program called “Rocket Math” was used in grades 3-5 to help guide and inform with math fluency instruction.
  - Two EL teachers spent time working with small groups of EL students during the school day.
  - After school tutoring occurred for some high needs students.
  - A summer tutoring program continues to occur for some identified students below grade level.
  - A summer school program occurred for ten mornings in August. This program worked with almost 100 students that were struggling in either reading or math.

A school goal of reading 25 books existed for grades 3-8 and many students were recognized at assemblies for accomplishing this goal.


**C. Did the SIP do what it set out to do? Describe the evaluation process that led you to this conclusion.**

The SIP did what it set out to do in that there were very focused areas of emphasis for student learning. Below are some overall school results:

M-STEP results:

- 63% of students were proficient in ELA, up from 59% the year prior and significantly above the state average of 46% proficiency.
- 57% of students were proficient in math, up from 52% the year prior and significantly above the state average of 38% proficiency.
- 36% of students were proficient in science, down from 37% the year prior but significantly above the state average of 19%.
- 30% of students were proficient in social studies, down from 35% from the year prior but above the state average of 27%.
- K-2 students did not take the M-STEP, but their NWEA data in reading and math shows that both for proficiency and growth their scores were above the national average.

The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2016-17 SIP goals and objectives in spring 2017, as part of its preparation for the 2017-18 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school’s selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated includes that collected through the state assessment, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.



**D. Describe how the evaluation of data and the current year's school improvement process led your school improvement team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum for the next school year**

See A and C above. Additionally, the School Improvement Team's evaluation of data, as part of its continuous school improvement process, revealed key focus areas for improvement. Identified through the process were specific student performance targets in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.

**E. How was this year's school improvement process similar or different from last year's process?**

On the whole, this year's school improvement process was similar to last year's process. The School Improvement Team met formally in the spring both to evaluate progress against the prior year's identified school improvement goals and to assess data and identify school improvement goals for the next year.

2015-2016


<https://www.nhaschools.com/schools/excel-charter-academy/en/school-operations>

**A. Describe how data led your School Improvement Team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum**

The school's School Improvement Team reviews data formally and informally throughout each school year as part of its continuous school improvement process. In spring 2016, the school reviewed school and student performance data and perceptual data aligned with the Michigan School Improvement Framework. This review included the following data, among others:

- Student achievement on norm-referenced assessments, including Northwest Evaluation Association's (NWEA) Primary Grades Assessment and Measures of Academic Progress (MAP), criterion-referenced assessments (state assessment), and classroom assessments
- Student demographics
- Discipline, tardy, truancy, and attendance rates
- Parent surveys
- Staff surveys and teacher quality information
- Programs and processes Data

As a result of the School Improvement Team's review of data, priority improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum were selected for the upcoming school year.



**B. Describe your implementation plan for the core academic improvement objectives identified in your school improvement process. You may choose to describe your overall curricular implementation plan or you may choose to describe the plan in each content area**

The school improvement team continued to review and revise the School Improvement Plan throughout 2015-16, including completing a comprehensive needs assessment. The overall goal is for all students to be proficient in math, ELA, science, and social studies as measured by both the MSTEP and the NWEA MAP test. The team met almost monthly in an effort to continually analyze methods of instruction, curriculum, and program design and their effectiveness. Some of the observable efforts were as follows:

- We completed the sixth year of response to intervention (RTI) for reading, specifically working with grades K-5. DIBELS was used as a universal screener and students receiving intervention services were progress monitored regularly.
- Reading interventionists continued to work with students in tier 2 or tier 3 based on the response to the intervention model. Students were selected for caseloads based on MSTEP testing, NWEA testing, or DIBELS testing. Intervention programs included A-Z reading, Sound Partners, EBLI, I-Ready, and others.
- A focus on formative assessments continued in all classrooms, with the goal being proficiency on the common core standards in all subjects. Interim assessments were used twice during the year across grades that covered multiple units and standards.
- A math response to intervention program was used for grades 1-6. A screener called Delta Math was used to help identify students needing support, and in which areas they needed support. Math interventionists worked specifically on grade level standards not mastered yet by students.
- A program called "Rocket Math" was piloted 2<sup>nd</sup> semester in a couple classrooms to help guide and inform with math fluency instruction.
- Two EL teachers, one full time and one part time, spent time working with small groups of EL students during the school day.
- Reading and writing tutoring occurred for some EL students showing some large proficient gaps in those areas.
- A summer tutoring program continues to occur for some identified students below grade level.
- A summer school program occurred for ten mornings in August. This program worked with almost 100 students that were struggling in either reading or math.
- A school goal of reading 25 books existed for grades 3-8 and many students were recognized at assemblies for accomplishing this goal.





**C. Did the SIP do what it set out to do? Describe the evaluation process that led you to this conclusion.**

The SIP did what it set out to do in that there were very focused areas of emphasis for student learning. Below are some overall school results:

MSTEP results:

- 59% of students were proficient in ELA, down from 65% the year prior but significantly above the state average of 47% proficiency.
- 52% of students were proficient in math, down from 53% the year prior but significantly above the state average of 51% proficiency.
- 37% of students were proficient in science, up from 32% the year prior and significantly above the state average of 19%.
- 35% of students were proficient in social studies, equal to 35% from the year prior and significantly above the state average of 24%.
- K-2 students did not take the MSTEP, but their NWEA data in reading and math shows that both for proficiency and growth their scores were above the national average.

The School Improvement Team formally reviewed progress against its 2015-16 SIP goals and objectives in spring 2016, as part of its preparation for the 2016-17 school year. A review of student performance data and other data pertaining to the school's selected focus area was conducted in order to evaluate progress toward meeting its goals. Specific data evaluated includes that collected through the state assessment, NWEA assessments, summative assessments, and formative assessments. The evaluation process includes ascertaining the degree to which each goal has been met or what progress has been made toward each goal.

**D. Describe how the evaluation of data and the current year's school improvement process led your school improvement team to select your school's improvement objectives in the core academic curriculum for the next school year**

See A and C above. Additionally, the School Improvement Team's evaluation of data, as part of its continuous school improvement process, revealed key focus areas for improvement. Identified through the process were specific student performance targets in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies.



**E. How was this year's school improvement process similar or different from last year's process?**

On the whole, this year's school improvement process was similar to last year's process. The School Improvement Team met formally in the spring both to evaluate progress against the prior year's identified school improvement goals and to assess data and identify school improvement goals for the next year.

**3. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH SPECIALIZED SCHOOL**

2016-2017

Free and Reduced Lunch Data: The percentage of Excel students whose parents met the federal income eligibility criteria for the "National Free and Reduced Lunch Program" was 45% during the 2016-17 school year.

School demographics: Some demographic information for the 2016-17 year is that 8% of the population was Asian, American Indian or Alaskan Native at 1%, Hispanic at 13%, African American population at 28% and the Caucasian population at 50%. The EL population was 18% of the overall population.

2015-2016


Free and Reduced Lunch Data: The percentage of Excel students whose parents met the federal income eligibility criteria for the "National Free and Reduced Lunch Program" was 45% during the 2015-16 school year.

School demographics: Some demographic details about Excel students during the year 2015-16 are that in terms of race 5.1% are considered American Indian or Alaskan Native, 8.4% Asian, 30% African American, and 56.6% Caucasian. The EL population at Excel is 16% of the overall population.

**4. IDENTIFY HOW TO ACCESS A COPY OF THE CORE CURRICULUM, A DESCRIPTION OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EXPLANATION OF THE VARIANCES FROM THE STATE'S MODEL**

2016-2017

Our goal of preparing students for college success has required us to take a unique view in terms of our curriculum. Our goal is to graduate students who have not only had the opportunity to experience academic excellence, but have acquired the knowledge and skills necessary to be successful in high school and college. What makes our curriculum particularly unique is that it has been developed to support state standards with a college-bound approach.



Our curriculum was developed based on the analysis of standards and assessment experts across the nation, including groups such as National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), ACT®, Achieve, Inc., the Thomas B. Fordham Institute, the National Council of Teachers of English, The National Mathematics Advisory Panel, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the National Council for Social Studies. This analysis, conducted by National Heritage Academies, comprehensively researched what students need to know to be successfully prepared for rigorous high school and college educational programming. NHA then worked backward to develop a curriculum that defines the expertise needed by students in kindergarten through eighth grade to enter high school on the path to college readiness. Since college readiness goes beyond academic preparedness, the curriculum not only includes learning goals in core academic and co-curricular areas, but also includes an integrated character development component.

The curriculum's objectives are aligned to those identified by the Michigan Academic Standards: both the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and the Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCE). Moreover, all students are expected to reach the CCSS and GLCE goals. However, modifications/accommodations are sometimes necessary to ensure learning for all students. This support comes through classroom differentiation, in-class support services, targeted programmatic resources, out of class intervention, and out of school time opportunities—all of which are designed to accelerate student growth trajectories.


The only variance in our curriculum when compared to the Michigan Academic Standards is the addition of a Moral Focus component. We believe great schools develop both a student's heart and mind, and the Moral Focus program is designed to support parents' efforts to teach character at home by reinforcing and modeling universal human virtues, such as compassion, respect, and integrity. This Moral Focus program is explicit and integrated with the school's core curriculum. A different virtue is featured each month of the school year. Teachers model behavior that exemplifies the virtue and recognize and praise students when they do the same.

A copy of the core curriculum can be obtained by parents via written request submitted to the school administration.

#### 2015-2016

Our goal of preparing students for college success has required us to take a unique view in terms of our curriculum. Our goal is to graduate students who have not only had the opportunity to experience academic excellence, but have acquired the knowledge and skills necessary to be successful in high school and college. What makes our curriculum particularly unique is that it has been developed to support state standards with a college-bound approach.

Our curriculum was developed based on the analysis of standards and assessment experts across the nation, including groups such as National Assessment of Educational Progress



(NAEP), ACT®, Achieve, Inc., the Thomas B. Fordham Institute, the National Council of Teachers of English, The National Mathematics Advisory Panel, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the National Council for Social Studies. This analysis, conducted by National Heritage Academies, comprehensively researched what students need to know to be successfully prepared for rigorous high school and college educational programming. NHA then worked backward to develop a curriculum that defines the expertise needed by students in kindergarten through eighth grade to enter high school on the path to college readiness. Since college readiness goes beyond academic preparedness, the curriculum not only includes learning goals in core academic and co-curricular areas, but also includes an integrated character development component.

The curriculum's objectives are aligned to those identified by the Michigan Academic Standards: both the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and the Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCE). Moreover, all students are expected to reach the CCSS and GLCE goals. However, modifications/accommodations are sometimes necessary to ensure learning for all students. This support comes through classroom differentiation, in-class support services, targeted programmatic resources, out of class intervention, and out of school time opportunities—all of which are designed to accelerate student growth trajectories.

The only variance in our curriculum when compared to the Michigan Academic Standards is the addition of a Moral Focus component. We believe great schools develop both a student's heart and mind, and the Moral Focus program is designed to support parents' efforts to teach character at home by reinforcing and modeling universal human virtues, such as compassion, respect, and integrity. This Moral Focus program is explicit and integrated with the school's core curriculum. A different virtue is featured each month of the school year. Teachers model behavior that exemplifies the virtue and recognize and praise students when they do the same.


A copy of the core curriculum can be obtained by parents via written request submitted to the school administration.

In 2016-2017, our curriculum is fully aligned and implemented using the Common Core State Standards and the Michigan Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCE) as the foundation.


5. THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS

2016-2017

Detailed below is a graph depicting the percentage of students in our school meeting growth targets from fall 2016 to spring 2017.




Detailed below are the percentages of students performing at or above the 50th percentile on the spring 2017 administration of the NWEA MAP assessment.




### 2015-2016

Detailed below is a graph depicting the percentage of students in our school meeting growth targets from fall 2015 to spring 2016.



Detailed below are the percentages of students performing at or above the 50th percentile on the spring 2016 administration of the NWEA MAP assessment.



6. IDENTIFY THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STUDENTS REPRESENTED BY PARENTS AT PARENT-TEACHER CONFERENCES

2016-2017


<b>Parent-Teacher Conferences</b>			
	<b>Grades</b>	<b># of Students Represented</b>	<b>% of Students Represented</b>
<b>Fall 2016</b>	K-8	757	98.1%
<b>Spring 2017</b>	K-8	747	97.4%

2015-2016

<b>Parent-Teacher Conferences</b>			
	<b>Grades</b>	<b># of Students Represented</b>	<b>% of Students Represented</b>
<b>Fall 2015</b>	K-8	747	97.3%
<b>Spring 2016</b>	K-8	740	96.9%

7. SCHOOL YEAR HIGHLIGHTS

- Excel continued to offer many extensions to learning as well as extracurricular opportunities. These included the Spelling and Geography Bees, Science Olympiad, Art Fair, Music Concerts, Solo Ensemble, Girls on the Run, Heart and Sole, Student Ambassadors, Fun Fridays once a month in grades 3-5, middle school house teams, boys and girls basketball, boys and girls soccer, boys and girls cross country, boys bowling, girls cheerleading, and girls volleyball.

- 
- Each month assemblies were held for each wing highlighting the virtue of the month, and awards were handed out to students for achievement both with character and academics.
  - The PTO continued to provide tremendous support to our school both through financial contributions to allow for field trips and other enhancements to the school, but also through building of community events. They held a “Treat and Greet”, roller skating parties, provided ice cream for the art fair, sponsored an all school walkathon as a fundraiser and to highlight healthy living, provided “thank you” snacks to parents on field day, and held a “Family Dance Night” where families could come and have fun through healthy movement.
  - To celebrate the great diversity at Excel there was a Parade of Nations as well as a World Fair Night.
  - There were special assemblies and events for Veteran’s Day, MLK Jr. Day, and in October to honor “Special Friends” for something similar to Grandparent’s Day. In addition, March was carved out as Reading Month and assemblies were held during a couple school days with varied published authors presenting.
  - Our students served by raising funds for “Jump Rope for Heart” as well as collecting food for a local food pantry.

We are looking forward to another rewarding and successful school year in 2018-19!

Sincerely,



Dan Bartels  
School Principal  
Excel Charter Academy  
4201 Breton Avenue SE  
Grand Rapids, MI 49512  
616-281-9339

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Dale Mowry – President  
Mark Brieve – Vice President  
Camden Brieden – Treasurer  
Aaron Brander – Secretary  
Kris Mauren – Director  
Jenny Gomez Cabrera – Director  
Ben Williams – Director



Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	3rd Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	46.0%	62.7%	62.7%	37.3%	25.3%	19.3%	18.1%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.1%	67.5%	67.5%	43.4%	24.1%	26.5%	6.0%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	20.0%	42.9%	42.9%	23.8%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	19.9%	43.5%	43.5%	30.4%	13.0%	39.1%	17.4%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	33.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.0%	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	42.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	41.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	White	2015-16	53.9%	69.6%	69.6%	47.8%	21.7%	15.2%	15.2%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.7%	83.3%	83.3%	55.6%	27.8%	13.9%	2.8%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Female	2015-16	49.5%	65.0%	65.0%	40.0%	25.0%	20.0%	15.0%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Female	2016-17	47.7%	65.9%	65.9%	41.5%	24.4%	29.3%	4.9%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Male	2015-16	42.6%	60.5%	60.5%	34.9%	25.6%	18.6%	20.9%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Male	2016-17	40.7%	69.0%	69.0%	45.2%	23.8%	23.8%	7.1%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	31.1%	52.8%	52.8%	22.2%	30.6%	16.7%	30.6%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	29.1%	48.8%	48.8%	27.9%	20.9%	44.2%	7.0%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	31.9%	50.0%	50.0%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%	21.4%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	34.0%	69.6%	69.6%	39.1%	30.4%	26.1%	4.3%
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	20.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	19.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	46.3%	59.3%	59.3%	35.8%	23.5%	17.3%	23.5%
ELA	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.2%	67.1%	67.1%	35.4%	31.7%	14.6%	18.3%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	67.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	66.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	20.4%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	19.2%	42.9%	42.9%	19.0%	23.8%	14.3%	42.9%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	34.4%	53.3%	53.3%	26.7%	26.7%	0.0%	46.7%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.7%	60.0%	60.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	43.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	40.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	53.9%	73.9%	73.9%	47.8%	26.1%	13.0%	13.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.5%	78.6%	78.6%	50.0%	28.6%	11.9%	9.5%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	50.9%	62.2%	62.2%	37.8%	24.4%	15.6%	22.2%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	48.6%	70.7%	70.7%	36.6%	34.1%	12.2%	17.1%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	41.8%	55.6%	55.6%	33.3%	22.2%	19.4%	25.0%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	39.9%	63.4%	63.4%	34.1%	29.3%	17.1%	19.5%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	30.8%	42.9%	42.9%	20.0%	22.9%	22.9%	34.3%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	28.6%	52.8%	52.8%	22.2%	30.6%	22.2%	25.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	24.3%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	70.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	22.9%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
ELA	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	17.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	15.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	50.6%	60.7%	60.7%	31.0%	29.8%	23.8%	15.5%
ELA	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	51.1%	56.1%	56.1%	30.5%	25.6%	20.7%	23.2%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	74.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	23.7%	47.1%	47.1%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%	23.5%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	24.8%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	38.4%	35.7%	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	39.4%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	53.3%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	49.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	48.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	White	2015-16	58.1%	78.9%	78.9%	47.4%	31.6%	13.2%	7.9%
ELA	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	58.6%	73.3%	73.3%	40.0%	33.3%	17.8%	8.9%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	55.8%	63.0%	63.0%	31.5%	31.5%	18.5%	18.5%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	56.0%	54.5%	54.5%	34.1%	20.5%	22.7%	22.7%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	45.5%	56.7%	56.7%	30.0%	26.7%	33.3%	10.0%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	46.2%	57.9%	57.9%	26.3%	31.6%	18.4%	23.7%
ELA	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	34.4%	51.3%	51.3%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	20.5%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	35.3%	26.7%	26.7%	16.7%	10.0%	26.7%	46.7%
ELA	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	23.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	24.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	16.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	16.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	45.0%	43.0%	43.0%	13.9%	29.1%	26.6%	30.4%
ELA	6th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	43.6%	59.0%	59.0%	26.9%	32.1%	21.8%	19.2%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	69.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	19.2%	30.8%	30.8%	0.0%	30.8%	15.4%	53.8%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	19.3%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	27.8%	38.9%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	31.7%	27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	6th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	31.6%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	42.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	40.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	White	2015-16	51.9%	52.4%	52.4%	23.8%	28.6%	28.6%	19.0%
ELA	6th Grade Content	White	2016-17	50.3%	79.4%	79.4%	44.1%	35.3%	14.7%	5.9%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	49.8%	46.7%	46.7%	17.8%	28.9%	24.4%	28.9%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	48.7%	62.0%	62.0%	28.0%	34.0%	16.0%	22.0%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	40.4%	38.2%	38.2%	8.8%	29.4%	29.4%	32.4%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	38.7%	53.6%	53.6%	25.0%	28.6%	32.1%	14.3%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	28.3%	37.8%	37.8%	16.2%	21.6%	27.0%	35.1%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	27.1%	44.1%	44.1%	17.6%	26.5%	26.5%	29.4%
ELA	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	15.0%	26.7%	26.7%	0.0%	26.7%	40.0%	33.3%
ELA	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	14.0%	57.1%	57.1%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	28.6%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%
ELA	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	10.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	47.1%	60.5%	60.5%	22.4%	38.2%	31.6%	7.9%
ELA	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	44.8%	59.2%	59.2%	19.7%	39.5%	25.0%	15.8%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	71.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	70.0%	60.0%	60.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	21.5%	40.9%	40.9%	4.5%	36.4%	40.9%	18.2%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	21.1%	38.5%	38.5%	0.0%	38.5%	46.2%	15.4%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	32.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	44.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	42.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	7th Grade Content	White	2015-16	53.7%	63.9%	63.9%	36.1%	27.8%	30.6%	5.6%
ELA	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	51.0%	73.0%	73.0%	32.4%	40.5%	16.2%	10.8%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	53.8%	65.1%	65.1%	25.6%	39.5%	32.6%	2.3%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	50.9%	55.6%	55.6%	22.2%	33.3%	28.9%	15.6%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	40.6%	54.5%	54.5%	18.2%	36.4%	30.3%	15.2%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	39.1%	64.5%	64.5%	16.1%	48.4%	19.4%	16.1%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	30.7%	41.4%	41.4%	13.8%	27.6%	44.8%	13.8%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	28.4%	51.4%	51.4%	17.1%	34.3%	34.3%	14.3%
ELA	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	17.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	15.8%	56.0%	56.0%	8.0%	48.0%	24.0%	20.0%
ELA	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	10.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	48.9%	68.4%	68.4%	27.8%	40.5%	17.7%	13.9%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	48.0%	67.5%	67.5%	22.5%	45.0%	22.5%	10.0%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	73.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	24.4%	58.8%	58.8%	11.8%	47.1%	17.6%	23.5%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	24.0%	51.9%	51.9%	7.4%	44.4%	44.4%	3.7%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	35.2%	54.5%	54.5%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	36.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	47.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	45.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	White	2015-16	55.2%	77.8%	77.8%	36.1%	41.7%	13.9%	8.3%
ELA	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	53.9%	73.5%	73.5%	35.3%	38.2%	14.7%	11.8%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	54.9%	75.6%	75.6%	36.6%	39.0%	14.6%	9.8%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	54.9%	62.2%	62.2%	31.1%	31.1%	28.9%	8.9%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	43.0%	60.5%	60.5%	18.4%	42.1%	21.1%	18.4%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	41.4%	74.3%	74.3%	11.4%	62.9%	14.3%	11.4%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	32.5%	54.1%	54.1%	18.9%	35.1%	24.3%	21.6%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	31.8%	39.4%	39.4%	15.2%	24.2%	36.4%	24.2%
ELA	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	17.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	21.5%	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%
ELA	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	10.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	10.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	45.2%	62.7%	62.7%	33.7%	28.9%	20.5%	16.9%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	46.8%	73.5%	73.5%	36.1%	37.3%	18.1%	8.4%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	73.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	73.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	17.9%	38.1%	38.1%	19.0%	19.0%	33.3%	28.6%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	20.6%	43.5%	43.5%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%	13.0%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	31.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	33.6%	83.3%	83.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	40.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	41.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	White	2015-16	53.2%	76.1%	76.1%	45.7%	30.4%	13.0%	10.9%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	White	2016-17	54.8%	86.1%	86.1%	52.8%	33.3%	5.6%	8.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Female	2015-16	43.7%	60.0%	60.0%	32.5%	27.5%	22.5%	17.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Female	2016-17	45.0%	70.7%	70.7%	29.3%	41.5%	12.2%	17.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Male	2015-16	46.6%	65.1%	65.1%	34.9%	30.2%	18.6%	16.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Male	2016-17	48.5%	76.2%	76.2%	42.9%	33.3%	23.8%	0.0%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	30.1%	50.0%	50.0%	22.2%	27.8%	25.0%	25.0%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	31.5%	53.5%	53.5%	20.9%	32.6%	32.6%	14.0%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	37.8%	35.7%	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	41.7%	78.3%	78.3%	30.4%	47.8%	17.4%	4.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	21.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	44.0%	61.7%	61.7%	35.8%	25.9%	28.4%	9.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	42.0%	70.7%	70.7%	39.0%	31.7%	23.2%	6.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	71.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	72.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	15.1%	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	14.6%	47.6%	47.6%	19.0%	28.6%	42.9%	9.5%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	30.6%	46.7%	46.7%	20.0%	26.7%	33.3%	20.0%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	29.1%	60.0%	60.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	36.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	52.3%	73.9%	73.9%	47.8%	26.1%	23.9%	2.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	49.7%	81.0%	81.0%	52.4%	28.6%	16.7%	2.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	42.1%	51.1%	51.1%	33.3%	17.8%	33.3%	15.6%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	39.6%	65.9%	65.9%	34.1%	31.7%	29.3%	4.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	45.8%	75.0%	75.0%	38.9%	36.1%	22.2%	2.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	44.2%	75.6%	75.6%	43.9%	31.7%	17.1%	7.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	27.9%	40.0%	40.0%	17.1%	22.9%	42.9%	17.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	25.8%	63.9%	63.9%	30.6%	33.3%	25.0%	11.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	27.4%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	25.5%	70.0%	70.0%	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	19.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	16.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	33.8%	46.4%	46.4%	31.0%	15.5%	32.1%	21.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	35.0%	51.8%	51.8%	34.9%	16.9%	26.5%	21.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	63.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	65.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	8.2%	17.6%	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	52.9%	29.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	9.4%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	19.2%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	0.0%	35.7%	42.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	20.8%	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	30.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	29.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	White	2015-16	41.0%	71.1%	71.1%	47.4%	23.7%	15.8%	13.2%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	42.4%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	20.0%	20.0%	13.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	31.7%	42.6%	42.6%	29.6%	13.0%	31.5%	25.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	32.6%	40.0%	40.0%	31.1%	8.9%	33.3%	26.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	35.8%	53.3%	53.3%	33.3%	20.0%	33.3%	13.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	37.4%	65.8%	65.8%	39.5%	26.3%	18.4%	15.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	17.7%	28.2%	28.2%	17.9%	10.3%	38.5%	33.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	18.8%	22.6%	22.6%	19.4%	3.2%	35.5%	41.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	12.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	15.3%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	10.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	11.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	32.8%	30.4%	30.4%	12.7%	17.7%	38.0%	31.6%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	34.2%	51.3%	51.3%	41.3%	10.0%	27.5%	21.3%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	64.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	65.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	8.2%	15.4%	15.4%	0.0%	15.4%	46.2%	38.5%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	9.3%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	27.8%	38.9%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	18.8%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	36.4%	54.5%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	20.5%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	58.3%	33.3%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	29.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	29.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	White	2015-16	39.2%	45.2%	45.2%	16.7%	28.6%	33.3%	21.4%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	White	2016-17	41.0%	76.5%	76.5%	70.6%	5.9%	14.7%	8.8%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	31.4%	31.1%	31.1%	11.1%	20.0%	33.3%	35.6%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	33.1%	46.0%	46.0%	36.0%	10.0%	28.0%	26.0%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	34.1%	29.4%	29.4%	14.7%	14.7%	44.1%	26.5%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	35.2%	60.0%	60.0%	50.0%	10.0%	26.7%	13.3%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	16.8%	18.9%	18.9%	10.8%	8.1%	43.2%	37.8%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	18.0%	32.4%	32.4%	23.5%	8.8%	29.4%	38.2%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	10.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	33.3%	60.0%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	13.4%	56.3%	56.3%	31.3%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	7.2%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	20.0%	70.0%
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	8.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	35.3%	59.2%	59.2%	32.9%	26.3%	18.4%	22.4%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	36.2%	46.8%	46.8%	23.4%	23.4%	32.5%	20.8%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	67.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	10.4%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	10.8%	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%	23.1%	53.8%	23.1%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	20.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	21.2%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	31.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	31.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	White	2015-16	41.6%	69.4%	69.4%	38.9%	30.6%	11.1%	19.4%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	42.9%	70.3%	70.3%	37.8%	32.4%	18.9%	10.8%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	34.5%	53.5%	53.5%	30.2%	23.3%	23.3%	23.3%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	35.1%	37.8%	37.8%	20.0%	17.8%	35.6%	26.7%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	36.1%	66.7%	66.7%	36.4%	30.3%	12.1%	21.2%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	37.3%	59.4%	59.4%	28.1%	31.3%	28.1%	12.5%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	18.7%	41.4%	41.4%	17.2%	24.1%	17.2%	41.4%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	19.0%	30.6%	30.6%	13.9%	16.7%	38.9%	30.6%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	13.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	13.0%	34.6%	34.6%	11.5%	23.1%	34.6%	30.8%
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	7.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	7.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	32.7%	53.2%	53.2%	30.4%	22.8%	27.8%	19.0%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	33.5%	48.8%	48.8%	27.5%	21.3%	32.5%	18.8%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	67.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	9.9%	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	64.7%	17.6%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	10.1%	29.6%	29.6%	7.4%	22.2%	37.0%	33.3%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	18.6%	45.5%	45.5%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	19.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	29.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	28.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	White	2015-16	38.3%	66.7%	66.7%	44.4%	22.2%	19.4%	13.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	39.2%	58.8%	58.8%	41.2%	17.6%	35.3%	5.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	34.1%	53.7%	53.7%	31.7%	22.0%	36.6%	9.8%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	35.2%	46.7%	46.7%	28.9%	17.8%	28.9%	24.4%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	31.4%	52.6%	52.6%	28.9%	23.7%	18.4%	28.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	31.8%	51.4%	51.4%	25.7%	25.7%	37.1%	11.4%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	16.7%	48.6%	48.6%	27.0%	21.6%	29.7%	21.6%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	16.9%	33.3%	33.3%	21.2%	12.1%	30.3%	36.4%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	11.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	14.2%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	5.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	5.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	14.7%	35.8%	35.8%	18.5%	17.3%	29.6%	34.6%
Science	4th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	14.6%	42.7%	42.7%	26.8%	15.9%	30.5%	26.8%
Science	4th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	28.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	27.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	2.4%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%
Science	4th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	2.8%	23.8%	23.8%	14.3%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%
Science	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	6.6%	40.0%	40.0%	6.7%	33.3%	6.7%	53.3%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Science	4th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%
Science	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	13.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	18.4%	43.5%	43.5%	28.3%	15.2%	34.8%	21.7%
Science	4th Grade Content	White	2016-17	18.2%	52.4%	52.4%	35.7%	16.7%	28.6%	19.0%
Science	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	13.0%	28.9%	28.9%	15.6%	13.3%	31.1%	40.0%
Science	4th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	12.6%	41.5%	41.5%	24.4%	17.1%	29.3%	29.3%
Science	4th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	16.4%	44.4%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	27.8%	27.8%
Science	4th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	16.5%	43.9%	43.9%	29.3%	14.6%	31.7%	24.4%
Science	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	6.6%	20.0%	20.0%	5.7%	14.3%	28.6%	51.4%
Science	4th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	6.8%	33.3%	33.3%	19.4%	13.9%	27.8%	38.9%
Science	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%
Science	4th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	4.3%	30.0%	30.0%	0.0%	30.0%	20.0%	50.0%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Science	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	5.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	5.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	23.9%	38.2%	38.2%	22.4%	15.8%	32.9%	28.9%
Science	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	22.7%	28.2%	28.2%	11.5%	16.7%	30.8%	41.0%
Science	7th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	41.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	41.3%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%
Science	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	5.4%	13.6%	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%
Science	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	5.1%	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	64.3%
Science	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	12.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	11.8%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%
Science	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	21.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	21.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Science	7th Grade Content	White	2015-16	28.9%	47.2%	47.2%	30.6%	16.7%	36.1%	16.7%
Science	7th Grade Content	White	2016-17	27.5%	45.9%	45.9%	21.6%	24.3%	29.7%	24.3%
Science	7th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	22.6%	34.9%	34.9%	23.3%	11.6%	32.6%	32.6%
Science	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	21.2%	21.7%	21.7%	15.2%	6.5%	26.1%	52.2%
Science	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	25.1%	42.4%	42.4%	21.2%	21.2%	33.3%	24.2%
Science	7th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	24.3%	37.5%	37.5%	6.3%	31.3%	37.5%	25.0%
Science	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	11.7%	27.6%	27.6%	13.8%	13.8%	34.5%	37.9%
Science	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	10.5%	18.9%	18.9%	5.4%	13.5%	24.3%	56.8%
Science	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	3.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	3.4%	23.1%	23.1%	3.8%	19.2%	23.1%	53.8%
Science	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	5.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	5.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	18.9%	29.8%	29.8%	4.8%	25.0%	57.1%	13.1%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	21.6%	25.3%	25.3%	2.4%	22.9%	48.2%	26.5%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	35.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	38.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	4.3%	23.5%	23.5%	0.0%	23.5%	58.8%	17.6%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	4.8%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	10.3%	21.4%	21.4%	0.0%	21.4%	57.1%	21.4%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	11.4%	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	37.5%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	17.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	18.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	White	2015-16	23.0%	42.1%	42.1%	10.5%	31.6%	55.3%	2.6%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	White	2016-17	26.7%	35.6%	35.6%	2.2%	33.3%	48.9%	15.6%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	16.7%	29.6%	29.6%	5.6%	24.1%	55.6%	14.8%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	19.3%	15.6%	15.6%	0.0%	15.6%	48.9%	35.6%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	21.0%	30.0%	30.0%	3.3%	26.7%	60.0%	10.0%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	23.9%	36.8%	36.8%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%	15.8%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	8.5%	20.5%	20.5%	0.0%	20.5%	64.1%	15.4%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	10.0%	12.9%	12.9%	0.0%	12.9%	32.3%	54.8%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	3.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	70.0%
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	5.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	5th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	6.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	29.3%	41.0%	41.0%	9.0%	32.1%	43.6%	15.4%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	31.4%	35.4%	35.4%	3.7%	31.7%	46.3%	18.3%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Asian	2015-16	53.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Asian	2016-17	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	9.3%	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	58.8%	17.6%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	9.0%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	22.2%	51.9%	25.9%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	18.0%	27.3%	27.3%	0.0%	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	19.3%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	60.0%	30.0%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2015-16	28.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	28.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2015-16	34.3%	51.4%	51.4%	17.1%	34.3%	37.1%	11.4%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2016-17	37.1%	47.1%	47.1%	8.8%	38.2%	38.2%	14.7%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	26.0%	39.0%	39.0%	12.2%	26.8%	46.3%	14.6%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	28.6%	35.6%	35.6%	4.4%	31.1%	40.0%	24.4%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	32.6%	43.2%	43.2%	5.4%	37.8%	40.5%	16.2%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	34.0%	35.1%	35.1%	2.7%	32.4%	54.1%	10.8%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## M-STEP Grades 3-11

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	15.8%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	55.6%	19.4%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	16.4%	17.6%	17.6%	0.0%	17.6%	50.0%	32.4%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	7.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	7.8%	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%	23.1%	46.2%	30.8%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2015-16	7.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2016-17	8.1%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	9.1%	63.6%	27.3%



05/11/2018

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

SAT

Location Name	School Year	Subject	Student Group	Mean SAT Score	Benchmark	Met or Exceeded	% Met or Exceeded	Did Not Meet	% Did Not Meet	Number Assessed
---------------	-------------	---------	---------------	----------------	-----------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	----------------	-----------------

No Data to Display

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	78.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	73.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	54.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	80.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	76.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	White	2015-16	59.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	78.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	69.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	4th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	51.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	84.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	76.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	79.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Black or African American	2015-16	59.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	82.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2016-17	56.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	85.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Female	2015-16	68.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	76.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	53.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	86.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	77.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	69.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	51.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	77.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	88.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	69.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	52.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	All Students	2015-16	48.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	86.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Black or African American	2016-17	46.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	77.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2015-16	47.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	92.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Female	2016-17	48.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	75.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI -Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
Science	7th Grade Content	Male	2015-16	50.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	78.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	89.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	51.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2015-16	49.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	75.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	66.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	7th Grade Content	English Learners	2015-16	40.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	82.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	All Students	2016-17	63.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2016-17	64.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
ELA	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Male	2016-17	66.0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	83.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2016-17	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade Content	English Learners	2016-17	55.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI -Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------

No Data to Display

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

MI-Access Participation

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------

No Data to Display

## Annual Education Report Excel Charter Academy (08246)

### Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
All Students	ELA	98.6%	49.5%	98.6%	63.3%	98.6%	63.3%
All Students	Mathematics	98.7%	39.1%	98.6%	57.5%	98.6%	57.5%
All Students	Science	97.9%	24.9%	97.0%	35.9%	97.0%	35.9%
All Students	Social Studies	97.8%	33.7%	99.4%	30.1%	99.4%	30.1%
Bottom 30%	ELA	N/A	3.8%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
Bottom 30%	Mathematics	N/A	1.5%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
Bottom 30%	Science	N/A	0.4%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
Bottom 30%	Social Studies	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	ELA	97.6%	40.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	Mathematics	97.8%	28.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	Science	96.8%	19.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	Social Studies	96.4%	28.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	ELA	99.1%	70.5%	100.0%	73.5%	100.0%	73.5%
Asian	Mathematics	99.3%	68.9%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%
Asian	Science	99.1%	41.4%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Asian	Social Studies	98.9%	51.9%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Black or African American	ELA	97.6%	25.0%	99.1%	42.1%	99.1%	42.1%
Black or African American	Mathematics	97.5%	14.2%	99.1%	34.2%	99.1%	34.2%
Black or African American	Science	96.0%	7.0%	97.2%	17.7%	97.2%	17.7%
Black or African American	Social Studies	95.9%	11.2%	100.0%	18.0%	100.0%	18.0%
Hispanic of Any Race	ELA	98.6%	36.9%	100.0%	46.2%	100.0%	46.2%
Hispanic of Any Race	Mathematics	98.7%	25.1%	100.0%	42.4%	100.0%	42.4%

## Annual Education Report

### Excel Charter Academy (08246)

#### Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
Hispanic of Any Race	Science	97.7%	13.5%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	Social Studies	97.8%	21.1%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	ELA	97.7%	54.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Mathematics	98.1%	43.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Science	97.6%	28.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Social Studies	98.1%	38.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	ELA	98.7%	46.6%	100.0%	67.6%	100.0%	67.6%
Two or More Races	Mathematics	98.6%	35.1%	100.0%	51.4%	100.0%	51.4%
Two or More Races	Science	97.7%	21.9%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Two or More Races	Social Studies	97.7%	29.7%	<30	<30	<30	<30
White	ELA	98.9%	56.1%	97.4%	76.7%	97.4%	76.7%
White	Mathematics	99.0%	45.6%	97.4%	73.1%	97.4%	73.1%
White	Science	98.4%	29.7%	95.2%	49.4%	95.2%	49.4%
White	Social Studies	98.3%	39.7%	98.8%	39.7%	98.8%	39.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	ELA	98.2%	33.5%	99.5%	44.9%	99.5%	44.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Mathematics	98.3%	23.3%	99.5%	39.9%	99.5%	39.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Science	97.2%	13.0%	98.7%	25.7%	98.7%	25.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Social Studies	97.0%	18.3%	100.0%	14.3%	100.0%	14.3%

Annual Education Report  
 Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
English Learners	ELA	98.6%	24.0%	100.0%	52.8%	100.0%	52.8%
English Learners	Mathematics	99.0%	23.0%	100.0%	48.9%	100.0%	48.9%
English Learners	Science	98.4%	5.0%	100.0%	24.2%	100.0%	24.2%
English Learners	Social Studies	98.2%	7.8%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	ELA	97.5%	26.0%	91.7%	25.0%	91.7%	25.0%
Students With Disabilities	Mathematics	97.9%	20.7%	91.7%	25.0%	91.7%	25.0%
Students With Disabilities	Science	96.9%	15.8%	<30	<30	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	Social Studies	95.9%	14.2%	<30	<30	<30	<30



Annual Education Report  
 Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## Accountability Details Graduation Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	79.65%	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	66.57%	N/A	N/A
Asian	90.20%	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	67.36%	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	72.60%	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	77.68%	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	73.67%	N/A	N/A
White	83.38%	N/A	N/A
Female	83.46%	N/A	N/A
Male	76.04%	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	67.10%	N/A	N/A
English Learners	72.11%	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	55.35%	N/A	N/A
Bottom 30%	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

Accountability Details Attendance Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	84.41%	94.80%	94.80%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Annual Education Report  
 Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## Accountability Index Data

School Name	Proficiency Index Value	Growth Index Value	Graduation Rate Index Value	EL Progress Index Value	School Quality/Student Success Index Value	General Participation Index Value	EL Participation Index Value	Overall Index Value
Excel Charter Academy	85.66	84.75	N/A	79.51	96.66	99.61	91.64	86.72

Annual Education Report  
 Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	18	25	0

*Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers*

## Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	N/A	N/A

## Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	20.9%

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	25	39	28	7
Male	50	25	39	28	7
Female	50	25	42	26	6
National Lunch Program Eligibility	48	40	42	17	2
Eligible	43	12	36	39	13
Not Eligible	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available					
Race/Ethnicity					
White	65	17	41	34	8
Black or African American	17	55	36	9	1
Hispanic	8	39	43	15	4
Asian	5	9	21	42	28
American Indian or Alaska Native	&#8225	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	4	24	37	26	12
Student classified as having a disability	11	59	28	11	2
SD	89	21	40	30	8
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	8	41	40	16	2
ELL	92	24	39	29	8
Not ELL					

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2017 Mathematics Achievement.

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male	51	35	34	21	10
Female	49	32	37	23	7
National Lunch Program Eligibility	41	52	32	13	2
Eligible	58	20	38	29	13
Not Eligible	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available					
Race/Ethnicity					
White	71	26	38	27	10
Black or African American	15	67	24	8	1
Hispanic	7	48	36	12	3
Asian	4	13	23	27	38
Other	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian or Alaska Native	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3	40	38	16	6
Two or More Races					
Student classified as having a disability	46	45	37	15	3
SD	58	20	38	29	13
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	6	75	23	2	#
ELL	94	31	26	24	9
Not ELL					

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2017 Mathematics Achievement.

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male	51	35	34	21	10
Female	49	32	37	23	7
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	41	52	32	13	2
Not Eligible	58	20	38	29	13
Info not available	1	†	†	†	†
Race/Ethnicity					
White	71	26	38	27	10
Black or African American	15	67	24	8	1
Hispanic	7	48	36	12	3
Asian	4	13	23	27	38
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	†	†	†	†
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	†	†	†	†	†
Two or More Races	3	40	38	16	6
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	46	45	37	15	3
Not SD	58	20	38	29	13
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	6	75	23	2	#
Not ELL	94	31	36	24	9

# Rounds to zero

† Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2017 Reading Assessment.

Annual Education Report  
Excel Charter Academy (08246)

NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	33	35	22	9
Male	51	35	34	21	10
Female	49	32	37	23	7
National Lunch Program Eligibility	41	52	32	13	2
Eligible	58	20	38	29	13
Not Eligible	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available					
Race/Ethnicity					
White	71	26	38	27	10
Black or African American	15	67	24	8	1
Hispanic	7	48	36	12	3
Asian/Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	13	23	27	38
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Islander	3	40	38	16	6
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Two or More Races					
Student classified as having a disability	46	45	37	15	3
SD	58	20	38	29	13
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	6	75	23	2	#
ELL	94	31	36	24	9
Not ELL					

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2017 Reading Assessment.



Annual Education Report  
 Excel Charter Academy (08246)

## NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	81	2.7	94	2.7
		82	3.3	90	3.4
8	Math	85	2.4	86	3.2
		84	2.4	92	1.9